Prevention of Infantile Colic Using Probiotics

Authors:	*Hamid Ahanchian, ^{1,2} Asma Javid ³	
	 Children's Health and Environment Program, Queensland Children's Medical Research Institute, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia Department of Allergy and Immunology, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran Department of Pediatrics, Mashhad University of Medical Science, Mashhad, Iran *Correspondence to h.ahanchian@uq.edu.au 	
Disclosure:	The authors have declared no conflicts of interest.	
Received:	31.08.18	
Accepted:	24.10.18	
Keywords:	Infantile colic (IC), prevention, probiotics, synbiotic.	
Citation:	EMJ Gastroenterol. 2018:7[1]:120-127.	

Abstract

Objective: Infantile colic is a frustrating impasse that affects up to 20% of infants. Even though its pathogenesis is currently unknown, some hypotheses are food hypersensitivity or allergy, gut dysmotility, inflammation, and visceral pain. The use of probiotics in treatment and prevention of infantile colic is a relatively new topic.

Method: Literature searches were conducted using Ovid MEDLINE[®], EMBASE[®], and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials. Randomised controlled trials including the terms "neonate(s)", "infant(s)", "probiotics", "synbiotics", "*Lactobacillus*", "*Bifidobacterium*", "colic", and "prevention" were included.

Results: Three studies showed the different composition of intestinal microbiota between colicky infants and control groups. In six of the studies, probiotic and/or synbiotic supplementation significantly decreased the rate of crying and pain in colicky infants compared with placebo; however, in two studies, no effect on the incidence and frequency of colic-related restlessness was detected. In all, the reviewed studies demonstrated that probiotic and/or symbiotic treatment regimens were effective for infantile colic prevention.

Conclusions: There is much evidence suggestive of diversity in the intestinal microbiota among colicky and healthy infants. Based on recent research, using probiotics and synbiotics is a practical and favourable strategy for prevention and treatment of fussiness in colicky infants.

INTRODUCTION

Infantile colic (IC) is defined as paroxysms of crying or fussing due to abdominal pain for \geq 3 hours a day, occurring 3 days or more per week for 3 weeks, in a healthy infant aged from 2 weeks to 3 months.¹² IC's prevalence is estimated to be up to 20% in the general

population and is well-known as a frustrating problem among parents and healthcare professionals.³ The condition usually manifests at about 2 weeks of age and no longer exists by 4 months of age.

Although the pathogenesis of IC is presently unknown, it is likely due to a multitude of factors, with many theories proposed. Psychosocial theories, such as inadequate maternal-infant interactions, family tension, maternal anxiety, depression, and smoking, are potentially risk factors.^{4,5} Gastrointestinal hypotheses mention increased intra-abdominal gas, visceral pain, and immaturity of the nervous or digestive system.^{4,6}

Despite there being several benign treatment modalities available, there is no gold standard treatment option for colicky infants. The current management technique recommended is the provision of support and reassurance to the parents,⁷⁻¹⁰ although, in breast-fed infants, the use of hypoallergic foods and the exclusion of cow's milk protein from the mother's diet has been suggested.^{7-9,11} In bottle-fed infants, using hypoallergenic formulas was shown to be effective in a few studies.^{7,8,12}

Pharmaceutical treatment has limited benefit in the management of colicky pain. While agents such as dicyclomine, simethicone, and nutritional supplements can be useful in some infants, few randomised controlled trials (RCT) support their efficacy.¹³⁻¹⁵ Currently, recent evidence has suggested probiotic and synbiotic usage for the improvement of colicky pain.^{16,17}

While the need to find a treatment for infantile colic may not appear immediately obvious because of the condition's benign and ultimately self-resolving nature, infantile colic can increase the risk of maternal depression,¹⁸ early breastfeeding discontinuance,¹⁹ and shaken baby syndrome.²⁰ In this context, the imperative to develop a viable therapeutic option becomes more pressing.

Ancient physicians in the Middle East used yogurt for curing disorders of the stomach and intestines.²¹ In the Persian variant of the Old Testament, it is stated that "Abraham owed his longevity to the consumption of sour milk" (Genesis 8:18).²²

In 1908, the Russian scientist Elie Metchnikoff proposed that the long life of Bulgarian peasants came from their consumption of fermented milk products, and this theory has led to the increasing popularity of probiotics and intestinal microbiota research.²¹

In 2001, a joint Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) and the World Health Organization (WHO) expert consultation defined probiotics as

'live micro-organisms which, when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit on the host.'²³ Prebiotics are defined as 'non-digestible food ingredients that beneficially affect the host by selectively stimulating the growth and/or activity of one or a limited number of bacteria in the colon, thus improving host health.'²⁴ Synbiotics are products that contain both probiotic and prebiotic components.

Previous studies have shown the safety of probiotic and synbiotic medications in healthy children.²⁵ In 2014, a study analysing 57 clinical trials that administered probiotics and synbiotics to infants between 0 and 24 months old found there were no major adverse effects.²⁶ Diarrhoea, vomiting, and bloating are the most common adverse effects.^{27,28} Because of limited evidence, probiotic administration in high-risk groups (such as preterm infants and immune deficient children) may be contraindicated.^{29,30}

Gut-Brain Axis and Microbiota

The intestine of a newborn infant is essentially sterile, and early postnatal life is known as the bacterial colonisation phase. The main sources of the colonising bacteria are the mother and the environment.^{31,32} The collection of genomes of these microbes is recognised as the human microbiome.³³

The presence of these microbiota is crucial for the infant's physiology, including the evolution of the gastrointestinal (GI) tract and health of the immune system. Recent studies have also revealed the requirement of gut microbiota for the normal functioning of the central nervous system (CNS).^{34,35}

As mentioned, gut microbiota consist of various communities of bacteria and their structure and activity have recently been better identified through the use of molecular and metagenomics tools. Lots of bacterial phyla are present in the GI tract, such as Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, Fusobacteria, Proteobacteria, and Verrucomicrobia,³⁶ with Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes accounting for 70–75% of microbiomes.^{36,37}

Genetics, prematurity, mode of delivery,¹⁰ age, diet, metabolism, geographic region, stress, and probiotic or antibiotic consumption are factors that can impact the infant microbiome.³⁸⁻⁴⁰ An adult human intestine contains about 100 trillion necessary bacteria,³² and by 2 years of age the child's gut microbial profile begins to look more like that of an adult.²⁵

Currently, many studies support the functional link between the GI tract and the CNS⁴¹; this is referred to as the gut-brain axis (GBA). This complex consists of the hypothalamic pituitary adrenal axis, CNS, the autonomic nervous system, and the enteric nervous system.⁴² The central nervous system and, in particular, the hypothalamic pituitary adrenal axis, can be activated in response to environmental factors, such as changes in emotion or stress. The hypothalamic pituitary adrenal axis stimulates cortisol release and is driven by a complex interaction between the amygdala, hippocampus, hypothalamus, which constitute the and limbic system. Hypothalamic secretion of the corticotropin-releasing factor stimulates adrenocorticotropic hormone secretion from the pituitary gland that, in turn, leads to cortisol release from the adrenal glands. In parallel, the central nervous system communicates along both afferent and efferent autonomic pathways, with different intestinal targets, such as the enteric nervous system, muscle layers, and gut mucosa, modulating motility, immunity, permeability, and secretion of mucus. The enteric microbiota has a bidirectional communication with these intestinal targets, modulating gastrointestinal functions and being itself modulated by brain-gut interactions.⁴²

Thus, the gut-brain axis is associated with involvement in the pathogenesis of certain CNS disorders, such as autism spectrum disorders,⁴³ anxiety, depression,⁴⁴ and chronic pain.³¹

Information transport between the GI tract and the brain takes place via four major pathways:

- > Vagal and spinal afferent neurons.
- > Immune system signalling.
- > Endocrine signalling.
- > Microbial factors. 43,45,46

These pathways are closely associated with each other.⁴⁷

In many of these communication pathways, the important role of the biologically active gut peptides and neuropeptides are clear. Manipulation of the microbiota with antibiotics,⁴⁸ probiotics, synbiotics, functional foods,⁴⁹ and also faecal microbial transplantation⁵⁰ and germfree animal models,⁵¹ has shown the important role of gut microbiota-brain interactions.⁴²

There is evidence suggestive of diversity in intestinal microbiota among colicky and healthy infants,⁵² with lower biodiversity in the stool microbiota of colicky infants, including a diminished number of lactobacilli and greater counts of Gram-negative bacteria.⁵²

In this review, the authors discuss the literature on using probiotics for the treatment of infant colic, and then shift their focus to recent trials focussing on prevention of infant colic via prebiotic, probiotic, and symbiotic interventions.

METHODS

Search Strategy

Eligible studies published between January 1966 and August 2018 were identified from within the National Library of Medicine. Many databases were searched, including Ovid MEDLINE[®], EMBASE[®], and the Central Register of Controlled Cochrane Trials. A number of search terms were used: "neonate(s)", "infant(s)", "probiotics", "synbiotics", "lactobacillus", "Bifidobacterium", "colic", and "prevention". There was no language restriction to the search.

Study Selection

All RCT that compared probiotics to placebo or other forms of treatment in healthy infants <4 months of age were included. All definitions of infantile colic were accepted. Articles in any language were considered as long as there was an abstract in English indicating content.

Data Extraction

Reviewers assessed eligibility of retrieved articles and abstracted descriptive data on the subjects, type of intervention, outcomes, and methodological quality. Miscalculations were resolved by consensus and discussion.

Methodological Quality of the Studies

To determine the methodological quality of selected trials, the standard methods of the Cochrane Collaboration were used.



Figure 1: The role of the gut microbiome in the gut-brain axis.

The central nervous system and, in particular, the hypothalamic pituitary adrenal axis (represented by the dashed line), can be activated in response to environmental factors, such as changes in emotion or stress. The hypothalamic pituitary adrenal axis stimulates cortisol release and is driven by a complex interaction between amygdala, hippocampus, and hypothalamus, which constitute the limbic system. Hypothalamic secretion of the corticotropin-releasing factor stimulates adrenocorticotropic hormone secretion from the pituitary gland that, in turn, leads to cortisol release from the adrenal glands. In parallel, the central nervous system communicates along both afferent and efferent autonomic pathways, with different intestinal targets, such as the enteric nervous system, muscle layers, and gut mucosa, modulating motility, immunity, permeability, and secretion of mucus. The enteric microbiota has a bidirectional communication with these intestinal targets, modulating gastrointestinal functions and being itself modulated by brain-gut interactions.⁴²

Table 1: Comparison of studies examining the use of probiotics and/or synbiotics for infantile colic.

Author	Study design	Main results
Lehtonen et al., ⁵³ 1994	Descriptive-analytical study	Disparity in the gut microbiota have been reported between colicky and non-colicky infants.
de Weerth et al., ⁵² 2013	Descriptive-analytical study	A reduction in butyrate-producing bacteria promotes intestinal inflammation and pain.
Roos et al., ⁵⁸ 2013	Randomised, double blinded, placebo-controlled study	A decrease in colicky symptoms was related to the changes of the gut microbiota.
Kianifar et al., ¹⁶ 2014	Randomised, double blinded, placebo-controlled study	Successful treatment (reduction in crying time) in the synbiotic group.
Savino, ⁶¹ 2010	Randomised, double blinded, placebo-controlled study	Significantly less crying in the treatment group.
Szajewska et al., ⁶² 2013	Randomised, double blinded, placebo-controlled study	Exclusively or predominantly breastfed colicky infants benefit from <i>Lactobacillus reuteri</i> DSM 17938 administration.
Saavedra et al., ¹⁷ 2004	Randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Prophylactic intervention.	Formula containing two strains of probiotic (<i>Bifidobacterium lactis</i> and <i>Streptococcus thermophiles</i>) reduced irritability of colicky infants.
Anabrees et al, ⁶³ 2013	Review of three studies.	Probiotic supplementation notably decreased the rate (minutes per day) of crying and pain.
Ben et al., ⁶⁴ 2008	Descriptive-analytical study	Did not show benefits of probiotics in colicky infants.
Mugambi et al.,65 2012	Review of three studies using synbiotic formula in infancy.	Synbiotics had no effect on incidence and frequency of colic restlessness.

For each trial, information was pursued regarding the method of randomisation, allotment concealment, blinding, and complete follow-up, as well as noted outcomes of all studied infants. The methodological details of the studies were extracted from published data.

RESULTS

Probiotics for the Treatment of Infantile Colic

Lots of evidence shows the different composition of intestinal microbiota between colicky infants and control groups. In some studies, a difference in the gut microbiota has been reported.⁵³⁻⁵⁶ Some studies have shown an increase in the number of pathogenic bacteria and a reduction in butyrate-producing bacteria, thus promoting intestinal inflammation and pain.^{16,52,57}

One RCT compared the microbial composition in faecal samples of colicky infants receiving *L. reuteri* or placebo. Roos et al.⁵⁸ concluded that increasing Bacteroidetes levels in responder infants determined a decrease in colicky symptoms related to the changes of the gut microbiota.

The type, amount, duration of intervention, study population, and environmental background influence the therapeutic effects.^{21,59,60}

In a previous study,¹⁶ the authors used a synbiotic, a mixture of a higher dose (1×10⁹) of seven probiotics plus prebiotic fructooligosaccharide (FOS) with significant results.

Fifty breastfed infants aged 15-120 days with infantile colic randomly received either the synbiotic sachet (containing 1 billion colony-forming units of *L. casei, L. rhamnosus, Streptococcus thermophilus, Bifidobacterium breve, L. acidophilus, B. infantis, L. bulgaricus,* and FOS) or placebo daily for 30 days. Reduction in the daily crying time (>50%) was significantly higher in the synbiotic group (82.6%) compared with placebo (35.7%) at Day 7. At the end of 30 days, treatment success was 87% versus 46% in the synbiotic and the placebo group, respectively.

In 2010, Savino et al.,⁶¹ compared 46 breastfed colicky infants receiving probiotic *L. reuteri* with placebo. Infants of the *L. reuteri*-treated-group showed significantly lower crying on Day 7, 14, and 21. This study suggested that gut microbiota modification made by *L. reuteri* may be involved in the improvement of colicky symptoms.

Other researchers argue that exclusively or predominantly breastfed colicky infants profit from L. reuteri DSM 17938 compared with placebo.⁶² Saavedra et al.¹⁷ revealed that a formula containing two strains of probiotic (B. lactis and S. thermophiles) reduced the irritability of colic. A review article⁶³ supports the positive effects of probiotic supplementation in infantile colic treatment. L. reuteri (American Type Culture Collection Strain 55730 and DSM 17938) notably decreased the rate (minutes per day) of crying and pain; additionally, no shortterm side effects were identified. On the other hand, a number of trials failed to show the benefit of probiotics in colicky infants.⁶⁴ In a review of three studies using synbiotic formula (consisting of *B. longum* and *B. animalis* plus a combination of galacto and FOS) in infancy, synbiotics were shown to have no effect on incidence and frequency of colic restlessness.65 Altogether, L. reuteri DSM 17938, L. casei, L. rhamnosus, L. bulgaricus, L. acidophilus, S. thermophilus, B. breve, B. infantis, and FOS are the most effective probiotic formulations being used for the treatment of infantile colic.66 The trials included in this study have been summarised in Table 1.

Probiotics as a Potential Preventive Intervention for Infantile Colic

A study showed that early life probiotic administration could prevent the onset of GI functional symptoms.⁶⁷ The mechanism of action of probiotics in this field has not been determined but appears to be mediated by activity on colonic intrinsic sensory neurons with an improvement in gut motility, as well as having positive effects on function and visceral pain.⁶⁸ Probiotics such as *Bifidobacteria* showed *in vitro* anti-inflammatory properties

and the ability to inhibit coliform growth, which has a significant presence in colicky infants, and some probiotics exert a direct action on the bacterial growth through bacteriocins production and final fermentation products, inhibiting pathogens, or feeding commensals.⁶⁹

To determine whether excessive crying in infants is preventable by probiotic administration, Pärtty et al.⁷⁰ randomised 94 preterm infants, breast and formula-fed, with birth weights >1,500 g and gestational ages of 32-36 weeks, in a double-blind study. From their first 3 days of life they received a mixture of galactooligosaccharide and polydextrose (prebiotic group), L. rhamnosus GG (probiotic group), or placebo, for 2 months. Follow-up meetings were arranged at the age of 1, 2, 4, 6, and 12 months. Compared with the placebo group, both the prebiotic and probiotic groups displayed less frequent crying (19% versus 19% versus 47%, respectively; p=0.02). The infants' faecal microbiota investigation at 1 month of age shows that the percentage of Lactobacillus-Lactococcus-Enterococcus bacteria (14.5% versus 10.5%; p=0.005) and Clostridium histolyticum (13.2% versus 10.4%; p=0.11) was greater in excessive criers than in content infants across all three study groups.

The authors showed that early administration probiotics in infants provided relief to their crying and fussing. Based on this study, delayed cloning by *B. infantis* can constitute a risk factor for increasing irritability in preterm infants.⁷⁰

A 2014 RCT performed in 589 breast and formula-fed infants found that the daily administration of *L. reuteri* DSM 17938 from Day 3 for 90 days resulted in a significant reduction in crying time (almost 51 min per day at 1 month, 33 min per day at 3 months).⁷¹ Another RCT that involved both breast and formula-fed infants showed that prophylactic use of *L. reuteri* DSM 17938 during the first 3 months of life reduced the magnitude of crying and functional gastrointestinal disorders.⁷²

CONCLUSION

Probiotics supplementation, especially *L. reuteri*, seems to be safe and effective in the management of infantile colic-related pain and fussiness without causing notable side effects.

In the deficit of other efficacious treatment well-defined outcome measures, and various modalities, their roles are valuable. Recent probiotic and prebiotic mixtures are needed studies showed potential benefits of probiotics to identify the most effective product in the for prevention of colic. Higher quality and prevention and treatment of infant colic. multicentre RCT with longer follow-up.

References

- 1. Savino F. Focus on infantile colic. Acta Paediatrica. 2007;96(9):1259-64.
- Roberts et al. Infantile colic. Am Fam 2 Physician. 2004;70(4):735-40.
- Wake M et al. Prevalence, stability, 3. and outcomes of cry-fuss and sleep problems in the first 2 years of life: Prospective community-based study. Pediatrics. 2006;117(3):836-42.
- 4. Barr RG, "Excessive crying," Sameroff AJ et al. (eds.), Handbook of Developmental Psychopathology (2000), New York: Springer, pp.327-50.
- 5. Savino F et al. Looking for new treatments of infantile colic. Ital J Pediatr. 2014:40:53.
- 6. Savino F et al. Infantile colic: Looking to old data through new eyes. Journal of Pediatric and Neonatal Individualized Medicine (JPNIM). 2015;4(2):e040230.
- Cohen-Silver J, Ratnapalan S. 7. Management of infantile colic: A review. Clin Pediatr. 2009;48(1):14-7.
- 8. Garrison MM, Christakis DA. A systematic review of treatments for infant colic. Pediatrics. 2000;106(Suppl 1):184-90.
- Lucassen PL, Assendelft W. 9. Systematic review of treatments for infant colic. Pediatrics. 2001;108(4):1047-8.
- 10. Adlerberth I, Wold AE. Establishment of the gut microbiota in Western infants. Acta paediatrica. 2009;98(2):229-38.
- 11. Sung V et al. Treating infant colic with the probiotic Lactobacillus reuteri: Double blind, placebo controlled randomised trial. BMJ. 2014;348:g2107.
- 12. Savino F et al. Reduction of crying episodes owing to infantile colic: A randomized controlled study on the efficacy of a new infant formula. E J Clin Nutr. 2006;60(11):1304-10.
- 13. Heine RG. Cow's-milk allergy and lactose malabsorption in infants with colic. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2013;57:S25-S7.
- 14. Ghosh S, Barr R. "Colic and gas", Pediatric gastrointestinal disease (4th ed) Hamilton, Ont: BC Decker Inc. 2004:210-24
- 15. Savino F et al. Ghrelin and motilin concentration in colicky infants. Acta

Paediatrica. 2006;95(6):738-41.

- 16 Kianifar H et et al. Synbiotic in the management of infantile colic: A randomised controlled trial. J Paediatr Child Health. 2014;50(10):801-5.
- 17. Saavedra JM et al. Long-term consumption of infant formulas containing live probiotic bacteria: Tolerance and safety. Am J Clin Nutr. 2004:79(2):261-7.
- 18. McMahon C et al. Postnatal depression, anxiety and unsettled infant behaviour. Aust N Z J Psychiatry. 2001;35(5):581-8.
- 19. Howard CR et al. Parental responses to infant crying and colic: The effect on breastfeeding duration. Breastfeed Med. 2006:1(3):146-55.
- 20. Barr RG. Preventing abusive head trauma resulting from a failure of normal interaction between infants and their caregivers. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012;109(Suppl 2): 17294-301.
- 21. Ahanchian H et al. Respiratory viral infections in children with asthma: Do they matter and can we prevent them? BMC Pediatr. 2012;12(1):147.
- 22. Bottazzi V, Reed G. "Other fermented dairy products", Biotechnology: Volume 5, Food and feed production with microorganisms. 1983:315-63.
- 23. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, World Health Organization, "Health and nutritional properties of probiotics in food including powder milk with live lactic acid bacteria", Probiotics in Food: Health and Nutritional Properties and Guidelines for Evaluation (2001). Available at: http://www.fao.org/3/aa0512e.pdf. Last accessed: 30 October 2018.
- 24. Gibson GR et al. Dietary modulation of the human colonic microbiota: Updating the concept of prebiotics. Nutr Res Rev. 2004;17(02):259-75.
- 25. Barnes D, Yeh AM. Bugs and guts practical applications of probiotics for gastrointestinal disorders in children. Nutr Clin Pract. 2015;30(6):747-59.
- 26. Van den Nieuwboer M et al. Probiotic and synbiotic safety in infants under two years of age. 2014;5(1):45-60.
- Johnston BC et al. Probiotics for the 27. prevention of pediatric antibioticassociated diarrhea. Cochrane

Database Syst Rev. 2011;11(11).

- 28. Allen SJ et al. Probiotics for treating acute infectious diarrhoea. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2010;(11):CD003048.
- 29. Van den Nieuwboer M et al. Safety of probiotics and synbiotics in children under 18 years of age. Benef Microbes. 2015;6(5):615-30.
- 30. Enache-Angoulvant A, Hennequin C. Invasive Saccharomyces infection: A comprehensive review. Clin Infec Dis. 2005;41(11):1559-68.
- 31. Amaral F et al. Commensal microbiota is fundamental for the development of inflammatory pain. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2008;105(6):2193-7.
- 32. Gill SR et al. Metagenomic analysis of the human distal gut microbiome. Science. 2006;312(5778):1355-9.
- 33. Turnbaugh PJ et al. The human microbiome project: Exploring the microbial part of ourselves in a changing world. Nature. 2007;449(7164):804.
- 34. Heijtz RD et al. Normal gut microbiota modulates brain development and behavior. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011;108(7):3047-52.
- 35. Neufeld K-AM et al. Effects of intestinal microbiota on anxietylike behavior. Commun Integr Biol. 2011;4(4):492-4.
- 36. Eckburg PB et al. Diversity of the human intestinal microbial flora. Science, 2005:308(5728):1635-8.
- 37. Qin J et al. A human gut microbial gene catalogue established by metagenomic sequencing. Nature. 2010;464(7285):59-65.
- 38. Bennet R et al. The fecal microflora of 1-3-month-old infants during treatment with eight oral antibiotics. Infection. 2002;30(3):158-60.
- 39. Cho I et al. Antibiotics in early life alter the murine colonic microbiome and adiposity. Nature. 2012;488(7413):621-6.
- 40. Karlsson CL et al. The microbiota of the gut in preschool children with normal and excessive body weight. Obesity. 2012;20(11):2257-61.
- Mayer EA. Gut feelings: The emerging 41. biology of gut-brain communication. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2011;12(8):453-66.

- 42. Carabotti M et al. The gut-brain axis: Interactions between enteric microbiota, central and enteric nervous systems. Ann Gastroenterol. 2015;28(2):203.
- Cryan JF, Dinan TG. Mind-altering microorganisms: The impact of the gut microbiota on brain and behaviour. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2012;13(10):701-12.
- 44. Park A et al. Altered colonic function and microbiota profile in a mouse model of chronic depression. Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2013;25(9):733-e575.
- 45. Holzer P et al. Neuropeptide Y, peptide YY and pancreatic polypeptide in the gut-brain axis. Neuropeptides. 2012;46(6):261-74.
- 46. Collins SM et al. The interplay between the intestinal microbiota and the brain. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2012;10(11):735-42.
- 47. Holzer P, Farzi A. Neuropeptides and the microbiota-gut-brain axis. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2014;817:195-219.
- Bercik P et al. The intestinal microbiota affect central levels of brain-derived neurotropic factor and behavior in mice. Gastroenterol. 2011;141(2):599-609.e3.
- 49. Mayer EA et al. Gut/brain axis and the microbiota. J Clin Invest. 2015;125(3):926.
- 50. Collins SM et al. The adoptive transfer of behavioral phenotype via the intestinal microbiota: Experimental evidence and clinical implications. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2013;16(3):240-5.
- Sudo N et al. Postnatal microbial colonization programs the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal system for stress response in mice. J Physiol. 2004;558(1):263-75.
- de Weerth C et al. Crying in infants: On the possible role of intestinal microbiota in the development of colic. Gut Microbes. 2013;4(5):416-21.

- Lehtonen L et al. Intestinal microflora in colicky and noncolicky infants: Bacterial cultures and gas-liquid chromatography. J Ped Gastroenterol Nutr. 1994;19(3):310-4.
- 54. Savino F et al. Bacterial counts of intestinal Lactobacillus species in infants with colic. Pediatr Allergy Immunol. 2005;16(1):72-5.
- 55. Savino F et al. Molecular identification of coliform bacteria from colicky breastfed infants. Acta Paediatrica. 2009;98(10):1582-8.
- Savino F et al. Intestinal microflora in breastfed colicky and noncolicky infants. Acta paediatrica. 2004;93(6):825-9.
- Pärtty A et al. Compositional development of Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus microbiota is linked with crying and fussing in early infancy. PloS One. 2012;7(3):e32495.
- Roos S et al. 454 pyrosequencing analysis on faecal samples from a randomized DBPC trial of colicky infants treated with Lactobacillus reuteri DSM 17938. PLoS One. 2013;8(2):e56710.
- 59. Kianifar HR et al. Probiotics in the treatment of acute diarrhea in young children. Iran J Med Sci. 2015;34(3):204-7.
- Heydarian F et al. A comparison between traditional yogurt and probiotic yogurt in non-inflammatory acute gastroenteritis. Saudi Med J. 2010;31(3):280-3.
- Savino F et al. Lactobacillus reuteri DSM 17938 in infantile colic: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Pediatrics. 2010;126(3):e526-e33.
- Szajewska H et al. Lactobacillus reuteri DSM 17938 for the management of infantile colic in breastfed infants: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. J Pediatr. 2013;162(2):257-62.

- Anabrees J et al. Probiotics for infantile colic: A systematic review. BMC pediatrics. 2013;13(1):1.
- Ben X-M et al. Low level of galactooligosaccharide in infant formula stimulates growth of intestinal Bifidobacteria and Lactobacilli. World J Gastroenterol. 2008;14(42):6564-8.
- 65. Mugambi MN et al. Synbiotics, probiotics or prebiotics in infant formula for full term infants: A systematic review. Nutr J. 2012;11(1):1.
- 66. Tintore M et al. Gut microbiota dysbiosis and role of probiotics in infant colic. 2017;8(4):56.
- 67. Indrio F et al. Prophylactic use of a probiotic in the prevention of colic, regurgitation, and functional constipation: A randomized clinical trial. JAMA Pediatr. 2014;168(3): 228-33.
- Guandalini S et al. VSL#3 improves symptoms in children with irritable bowel syndrome: A multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind, crossover study. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2010;51(1):24-30.
- 69. Sanders ME. Impact of probiotics on colonizing microbiota of the gut. J Clin Gastroenterol. 2011;45 Suppl:S115-9.
- 70. Pärtty A et al. Effects of early prebiotic and probiotic supplementation on development of gut microbiota and fussing and crying in preterm infants: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. J Pediatr. 2013;163(5):1272-7. e2.
- Indrio F et al. Prophylactic use of a probiotic in the prevention of colic, regurgitation, and functional constipation: A randomized clinical trial. JAMA Pediatr. 2014;168(3): 228-33.
- 72. Chumpitazi BP et al. Creation and initial evaluation of a Stool Form Scale for children. 2010;157(4):594-7.