EMJ^{EUROPEAN} MEDICAL JOURNAL

EMJ Oncology 2019 Suppl 2 • europeanmedical-journal.com

INSIDE BCG-Unresponsive Bladder Cancer: New Frontiers in the Treatment Landscape

BCG-Unresponsive Bladder Cancer: New Frontiers in the Treatment Landscape

This meeting took place on 28th September 2019, as part of the Ferring-organised Meet-The-Expert symposium at the European Society of Medical Oncology (ESMO) Congress in Barcelona, Spain

Chairperson:	Juan Palou Redorta, ¹
Speakers:	Shahrokh Shariat, ²⁻⁸ Marek Babjuk, ⁹ Thomas Powles ¹⁰
	 Fundació Puigvert, Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain Department of Urology, Comprehensive Cancer Center, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria Department of Urology, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York City, New York, USA Department of Urology, University of Texas Southwestern, Dallas, Texas, USA Department of Urology, Second Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic Institute for Urology and Reproductive Health, I.M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University, Moscow, Russia Department of Urology, University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan European Association of Urology research foundation, Arnhem, the Netherlands. Motol University Hospital, Prague, Czech Republic Barts Cancer Institute, Queen Mary University, London, UK
Disclosures:	Joan Palou Redorta has worked on the Advisory board for Arquer Diagnostics, Olympus, Combat, and Ferring; the Steering Committee for BMS, Janssen, and Pfizer; as an Investigator for Cepheid, Arquer, Taris, and Biotech; and is co-author of the EAU Guidelines NMIBC. Shahrokh F Shariat has worked on the Advisory board of and/or as a speaker for Astellas, AstraZeneca, Bayer, BMS, Cepheid, Ferring, Ipsen, Jansen, MSD, Nucleix, Olympus, Pfizer, Pierre Fabre, Roche, Sanochemia, Sanofi, Urogen, and Wolff. Marek Babjuk has completed consultant activities for Roche, MSD, Olympus, Ipsen, and Ferring. Thomas Powles has worked in an Advisory role for BMS, Roche, MSD, AstraZeneca, Pfizer, Lilly, Incyte, and Seattle Genetics; has received research funding from AstraZeneca, Roche, and Novartis; and has received honoraria from Novartis, BMS, Roche, AstraZeneca, MSD, Pfizer, and Lilly.
Acknowledgements:	Writing assistance was provided by Satyendra Shenoy, Describe Scientific Writing & Communications, Germany.
Support:	The symposium and the publication of this article were funded by Ferring. The views and opinions expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily of Ferring.
Citation:	EMJ Oncol. 2019;7[Suppl 2]:2-9.

Meeting Summary

This Ferring-organised Meet-The-Expert symposium at the European Society of Medical Oncology (ESMO) 2019 meeting aimed to discuss new treatment modalities in the management of high-risk, non-muscle invasive bladder cancer (NMIBC). The chairperson Prof Palou commenced the session by discussing the need for newer interventions to address the failure of bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG), the first-line treatment against NMIBC, in a substantial proportion of patients. Prof Palou

emphasised the need to clearly define refractory disease to optimise second-line treatments, before introducing immune checkpoint inhibitors as a promising class of drug for the treatment of BCG-unresponsive NMIBC. Prof Shariat discussed a case study to define key clinical timepoints for evaluating therapeutic outcomes of BCG treatment. He further outlined factors to be considered before deciding on radical cystectomy in BCG-unresponsive NMIBC. Prof Babjuk listed some of the landmark trials conducted recently to investigate chemotherapeutic agents such as mitomycin C (MMC) and docetaxel in BCG-unresponsive patients before highlighting the role of advanced drug delivery technologies such as electromotive drug administration (EMDA), hyperthermic intravesical chemotherapy, and radiofrequency-induced thermo-chemotherapy effect (RITE) in improving the effectiveness of these agents. Prof Powles shared data from some of the trials investigating immune checkpoint inhibitors such as PURE-01, ABACUS, KEYNOTE, to underscore the importance of biomarkers in selecting appropriate treatment strategies for NMIBC.

Non-Muscle Invasive Bladder Cancer: The Need for Treatment Options to Evolve

Professor Juan Palou Redorta

Prof Palou initiated the symposium by providing epidemiological data on bladder Urothelial carcinomas cancers. comprise approximately 90% of all bladder cancers diagnosed.¹ NMIBC has a high recurrence rate with a risk of invasive and metastatic progression.^{1,2} While BCG immunotherapy is the first-line treatment for NMIBC, approximately 30-40% of patients are nonresponsive.³ In addition, a global shortage of BCG supply has caused a significant medical and economic impact.4,5 Treatment failure of BCG does occur, and for high-risk patients frequently requires curative radical cystectomy, which is associated with increased morbidity and reduced quality of life.6-8

The history of cancer immunotherapy began with the discovery of BCG by Albert Calmette and Camille Guérin at the start of the 20th century.^{9,10} Further understanding of the underlying mechanism was demonstrated by Zbar et al.,¹¹ who showed that in animal models close contact between BCG and tumour cells was required. Finally, in 1976, Morales et al.¹² showed a favourable outcome following the use of intravesical BCG instillation for treatment of NMIBC.

Guidelines from the European Association of Urology (EAU) recommend BCG maintenance therapy in high-risk NMIBC, and BCG or radical cystectomy in very-high-risk NMIBC.¹³ Specifically,

with intermediate-risk patients tumours should receive the full-dose BCG intravesical immunotherapy or instillations of chemotherapy for 1 year. Moreover, in patients with high-risk tumours, full-dose intravesical BCG for 1-3 years is indicated. In patients at the highest risk of progression, immediate radical tumour cystectomy should be considered as an alternative to BCG. Radical cystectomy is also recommended in BCG-unresponsive tumours. A meta-analysis of >15,000 patients revealed that factors such as T1 substage, associated carcinoma in situ (CIS), tumour size, and age are good indicators for early cystectomy in highgrade T1 bladder cancer.¹⁴ However, high-grade T1 bladder cancer initially challenged with BCG was not found to show any substantial difference in 5-year disease-specific patient survival in comparison with patients who underwent radical cystectomy.¹⁵ While radical surgery could avoid disease progression, prognosis in NMIBC patients who experience progression of their disease could be similar to those with primary muscleinvasive bladder cancer (MIBC), and delayed radical cystectomy undermines patient survival.^{16,17} The most imperative question then presents: what is the appropriate timeframe to recognise the failure of BCG and opt for radical cystectomy, especially since the likelihood of a cure decreases with advancing disease?

The key to optimal treatment of NMIBC lies in defining BCG responsiveness.¹⁸ BCG refractoriness is the persistence of T1G3/high-grade disease at 3 months despite treatment and can also characterise a tumour stage/grade progression 3 months after BCG induction or TaG3/high-grade either at 3 or 6 months, and CIS that persists

at 6 months. BCG relapse is when high-grade disease recurs after achieving disease-free state following 6 months of adequate BCG. Lastly, BCG-unresponsive is when there is a failure to achieve complete response (CR) in Ta/high-grade within 6 months, or relapsing within 6–12 months of last BCG after previously attaining CR.

The focus on programmed cell death protein (PD)-1/PD-L1, an immune inhibitory receptor, in recent years serves as an example of the latest research trend towards checkpoint inhibitors in bladder cancer treatment.¹⁹ PD-1 receptors are present on cells of the immune system, including monocytes, macrophages, dendritic cells, and T cells, particularly the cytotoxic T cells. The interaction of the ligands, PD-L1 or PD-L2, with the PD-1 receptor results in turning off T-cell activation and cytokine production.

Finally, Prof Palou reiterated the need for a change in approach to the treatment of NMIBC, owing to failure of existing regimens in a proportion of the patients as well as the emergence of new treatments. These include chemotherapeutic standard agents such paclitaxel, docetaxel, and gemcitabine; as immunotherapeutic agents such as interferon-a (IFNa), mycobacterial cell wall-DNA complexing, Mycobacterium brumae, Lactobacillus casei, checkpoint inhibitors, and intravesical rAdIFNa/ Syn3; and upcoming technological advances such as photodynam therapy, chemohyperthermia/ microwaves, thermosensitive hydrogels, EMDA, gemcitabine-releasing and the intravesical system.²⁰⁻²²

Defining BCG-Unresponsive Non-Muscle Invasive Bladder Cancer: A Case Study

Professor Shahrokh Shariat

Prof Shariat's presentation began by listing the treatment goals in high-risk NMIBC: 1) eradicate the existing tumour; 2) prevent or delay tumour recurrence; 3) prevent or delay tumour progression; and 4) prevent pan-urothelial disease.

To highlight the importance of clinical judgement in selecting an optimal interventional strategy, Prof Shariat presented the case of a 64-year-old male patient who presented with haematuria. The patient had a lifestyle risk factor associated with bladder cancer, heavy and chronic smoking, as well as the comorbid conditions hypertension and hyperlipidaemia.^{23,24} Ultrasound evaluation of the bladder revealed a single mass, cytology results were positive, and cystoscopy showed a single lesion on the left side with appearance of a papillary tumour of approximately 2 cm. Following a transurethral resection (TUR) and subsequent histological evaluation, the tumour was identified as a pT1 high-grade urothelial CIS, with no lymphovascular invasion, and 10% glandular variant. The tumour was also visually judged to be completely resected.

As is indicated in such cases, the patient underwent a follow-up restaging TUR to minimise the risk of understaging the NMIBC following the first TUR. Such a restaging TUR is associated with a lowered recurrence rate (50% of recurrences are at the same site)²⁵ and improved response to intravesical BCG and MMC therapy.²⁶ Moreover, persistent T1 disease at re-TUR carries a high risk (75% at 5 years) of progression following BCG therapy thereby justifying the use of re-TUR to identify these patients,²⁷ even though it has been recently published that the risk of progression is 25.3%.²⁸

The restaging TUR revealed a high-grade Ta urothelial carcinoma of the bladder without lymphovascular invasion and glandular variant similar to the first TUR. The next course of action in such a case is to initiate immunotherapy with intravesical BCG treatment since this has been known to result in initial response rates of approximately 80% for CIS and tumour-free response rates of approximately 70% for papillary disease.²⁹ However, despite these promising results, not all patients derive benefit and some tumours could relapse over time.^{13,27} Three months following BCG induction, the patient showed positive cytology results and the presence of CIS on subsequent cystoscopy. Clinical data published by Lamm et al.³⁰ has shed more light on the time-frame necessary to evaluate the effectiveness of BCG treatment and aid the choice of further courses of action. In a subset of subjects with CIS in their study, Lamm et al.³⁰ reported a CR rate of 58% and 55% in subjects who had received a 6-week BCG treatment, either as induction or maintenance therapy,

respectively. While these appear suboptimal, a further 3-week BCG treatment resulted in an increase in CR rates to 69% and 84%, respectively. Hence, the appropriate timeframe for evaluation appears to be 6 months following initiation of intravesical BCG for CIS and possible high-grade Ta.

At the 6-month evaluation, the patient had a negative cytology result and no evidence of tumour; however, at the 9-month evaluation a low-grade Ta lesion was observed with a continued negative cytology result, and at the 12-month evaluation a CIS was discovered. These findings illustrate the significance of grading Ta tumours emerging post-BCG treatment³¹ and diagnosing BCG-unresponsive tumours to select appropriate course of action. BCGunresponsiveness is defined as the occurrence of 1 of 3 events: 1) MIBC is detected during follow-up; 2) a CIS or high-grade Ta is present at 3 and 6 months or a high-grade T1 is present at 3 months; or 3) a high-grade tumour appears during BCG therapy after achieving a diseasefree state at 6 months.¹³ For such purposes, **BCG-unresponsiveness** also includes BCG which side effects intolerance in severe prevent further BCG instillation before completing treatment.

Based on major treatment guidelines and clinical evidences, the current standard treatment for BCG-unresponsive NMIBC is radical cystectomy because it results in a cancer-specific survival of approximately 90%, and quality of life in patients who have undergone radical cystectomy is comparable to the general population. However, this procedure also has shortcomings: it is more frequently employed than necessary, substantial morbidity and mortality are associated with the procedure, it is unsuitable for elderly and frail patients, and there exists a possible need for cosmetic interventions in parallel.^{8,32}

Prof Shariat concluded his presentation by listing the six essential conditions that serve as ideal benchmarks while considering bladder-sparing strategies in BCG-unresponsive NMIBC patients:³³ 1) when they are easier and safer than radical cystectomy; 2) when they are cheaper and faster than radical cystectomy; 3) when they are clinically acceptable and with durable effectiveness; 4) when there is a low risk of progressing to invasive or metastatic disease; 5) when there is a minimal delay in receiving radical cystectomy in case of failures; or 6) when there is no increase in the risk of complications following radical cystectomy in case of failures.

Emerging Technologies in the Treatment of BCG-Unresponsive Non-Muscle Invasive Bladder Cancer

Professor Marek Babjuk

Prof Babjuk began his presentation by listing some of the trials that have investigated chemotherapeutic agents in the treatment of BCG-unresponsive NMIBC.

Several clinical studies assessing the effect of various chemotherapy agents after BCG treatment failure have been conducted. In one of the first trials comparing MMC and BCG instillation in patients with NMIBC, 19% (4/21) of subjects were disease-free at 3 years after the use of MMC as a second-line agent following BCG.³⁴ Valrubicin, a U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved agent for bladder CIS, showed a CR rate of 18% at 6 months in subjects who were BCG-unresponsive or BCG-intolerant.³⁵ A Phase II trial on intravesical gemcitabine against NMIBC following 2 prior courses of BCG showed a 47% CR at 3 months and 21% of the subjects were disease-free at 2 years.³⁶ In another study that investigated the long-term survival outcomes in subjects receiving docetaxel for recurrent NMIBC after previous BCG treatment, 59% of the subjects reported a CR after induction therapy with docetaxel and recurrence-free survival (RFS) rates at 1 and 3-year follow-ups were 40% and 25%, respectively.³⁷ In addition to these single-agent treatments, encouraging results have also been observed in sequential chemotherapy treatments, such as gemcitabine with docetaxel. In a study on subjects with NMIBC, two-thirds of whom were BCG-unresponsive, a sequential regimen of gemcitabine followed by docetaxel showed 1 and 2-year RFS of 49% and 34%, respectively. In addition, a recently completed Phase I trial³⁸ showed promising results and an acceptable tolerability of intravesical cabazitaxel, gemcitabine, and cisplatin in urothelial carcinoma of the bladder.³⁸

Moreover, a new drug delivery system for intravesical administration of a nanoparticlebased formulation containing docetaxel has also been undergoing clinical investigation.³⁹

The encouraging results from chemotherapeutic agents against BCG-unresponsive NMIBC has led to a paradigm shift in terms of designing improved drug delivery systems for these agents. Thermo-chemotherapy, a procedure by which increased localised temperature induced by microwaves (RITE) improves the effectiveness of chemotherapeutic agents in BCG-unresponsive NMIBC, has been described in a study by Nativ et al.⁴⁰ This study discovered that in 111 subjects administered MMC concomitant with bladder wall, hyperthermia ($42^{\circ}C \pm 2^{\circ}C$) showed a 1 and 2-year disease-free survival (DFS) of 85% and 56%, respectively. In addition, in the subgroup of 50 BCG-unresponsive subjects with CIS, the CR at 6 months was 46.0% and the 2-year RFS was 68.9%. Results from a recent Phase II study that employed RITE showed an improvement in the cytotoxicity and drug absorption of MMS via formation of tunnelling microtubules.⁴¹ However, DFS rates between RITE and control were similar, and it was concluded that RITE might be a better second-line choice for non-CIS recurrence. post hoc analysis of BCG-unresponsive А subjects from another study which employed hyperthermic intravesical chemotherapy for intermediate and high-risk NMIBC reported that approximately 50% of subjects were diseasefree at the median follow-up of 14.0 months, and the overall median DFS was 17.7 months.42 Results from a Phase II study to evaluate the efficacy of MMC delivered by EMDA as first-line salvage therapy in high-risk BCG-unresponsive NMIBC subjects showed that EMDA-MMC was potentially a safe and effective 'bladder-sparing' option in certain patients.

Another recent modality that is being investigated as an option in BCG-unresponsive NMIBC is intravesical *IFN* α gene therapy, given every 3 months. *IFN* α has been shown to possess several direct and indirect antitumour actions and can cause tumour cell death.⁴³ One of the candidates to emerge is nadofaragene firadenovec

(rAD-IFN/Syn3), a nonreplicating adenovirus vector-based gene therapy carrying the *IFNa2b* gene as well as *Syn3*, a novel synthetic excipient that disrupts the urothelial glycosaminoglycan layer and enhances transduction of the

urothelium, resulting in local effects instead of a systemic one.⁴⁴ In a Phase I study, after instillation of rAD-IFN/Syn3, the cells of the bladder wall were shown to produce and secrete *IFNa2b* for a period of up to 10 days.⁴⁵ A subsequent Phase II open-label trial investigating 2 different doses showed an overall RFS of 35% at 1 year. In addition, the median time to high-grade recurrence was longer in the high-dose group in comparison with the low-dose group (12 months versus 4 months).⁴⁶ rAD-IFN/Syn3 is currently in Phase III clinical development for the treatment of high-grade, BCG-unresponsive NMIBC, and the data will soon be released.

In summary, various technological advances in drug delivery methods hold the potential of being developed into optimal treatment regimens for BCG-unresponsive NMIBC.

Systemic Treatments: Immune Therapy in Carcinoma *In Situ-*T4a Bladder Cancer

Professor Thomas Powles

Prof Powles commenced his presentation by highlighting the number of immune checkpoint inhibitors that were licensed for metastatic urothelial carcinoma, including atezolizumab,^{47,48} nivolumab,⁴⁹ pembrolizumab,⁵⁰ durvalumab,⁵¹ and avelumab,⁵² before summarising data from some of the trials.

The open-label, Phase II, PURE-01 trial was designed to evaluate pembrolizumab, given as 3 cycles of 200 mg every 3 weeks, as a neoadjuvant immunotherapy prior to radical cystectomy in subjects with bladder carcinoma that had progressed to invade the muscle tissue.⁵³ Results from the PURE-01 trial showed an attainment of pTO (pathological CR) in 42% of the subjects, indicating that neoadjuvant pembrolizumab might have beneficial prognosis in subjects with MIBC tumours which were PD-L1-positive and had a high tumour mutation burden. Prof Powles further highlighted the significance of biomarkers in predicting response rates; the proportion of the subjects in the PURE-01 trial with DNA damage response or RB1 genomic alterations and achieving pTO was 60%. Moreover, the proportion of the subjects with DNA damage

response or *RB1* genomic alterations, a PD-L1 combined positive score \geq 20%, and pTO achievement was 90%.⁵⁴

The Phase II ABACUS trial was designed to evaluate atezolizumab, two cycles of 1,200 mg every 3 weeks were given as a neoadjuvant treatment prior to cystectomy in subjects with cT2-4aNOMO MIBC who were ineligible for cisplatin treatment.⁵⁵ Overall pathologic (p)CR rate was 29%; however, PD-L1-positive subjects had a higher pCR rate (40%) in comparison to PD-L1-negative subjects (16%). The results could be attributed to a higher expression of PD-L1, as well as a CD8-inflamed phenotype in MIBC, in comparison to metastatic bladder cancer.^{56,57}

Another Phase Ib/II trial investigating neoadjuvant pembrolizumab in combination with chemotherapy for locally advanced urothelial cancer⁵⁸ showed an RFS of 70% and a disease-specific survival of 90% at 18 months.

There are also updated interim results from the Phase II KEYNOTE-057 trial investigating

pembrolizumab for subjects with high-risk BCG-unresponsive NMIBC.⁵⁹ The CR rate in the cohort of subjects who had CIS with papillary disease was reportedly 40.2%, with none of the subjects progressing to T2. In addition, median CR was 12.7 months, and 75% and 53% of subjects had a CR duration of \geq 6 months and \geq 9 months, respectively.

Furthermore, two new trials are currently ongoing: the Phase III NIAGARA trial evaluating durvalumab following neoadjuvant chemotherapy, and the POTOMAC trial evaluating durvalumab in combination with BCG in BCG-naïve NMIBC subjects.

Prof Powles summarised his presentation by indicating multiple mechanisms of resistance in metastatic disease which could result in treatment failure. This further highlights the significance of biomarkers in optimising treatment and predicting response rates, and the need for studies in BCG-naïve disease to identify newer therapeutic strategies in NMIBC.

References

- Pashos CL et al. Bladder cancer: Epidemiology, diagnosis, and management. Cancer Pract. 2002;10(6):311-22.
- Catalona WJ et al. Risks and benefits of repeated courses of intravesical bacillus Calmette-Guerin therapy for superficial bladder cancer. J Urol. 1987;137(2):220-4.
- Zlotta AR et al. The management of BCG failure in non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer: An update. Can Urol Assoc J. 2009;3(6 Suppl 4):S199-205.
- Ourfali S et al. Recurrence rate and cost consequence of the shortage of bacillus Calmette-Guérin Connaught strain for bladder cancer patients. Eur Urol Focus. 2019. [Epub ahead of print].
- Babjuk M et al. Statement concerning the shortage of BCG vaccine from the EAU guidelines panel on nonmuscle-invasive bladder cancer.
 2018. Available at: https://uroweb. org/wp-content/uploads/Updatedstatement-concerning-the-shortageof-BCG-vaccine-NMIBC-Panel-2018. pdf. Last accessed: 25 November 2019.
- Liedberg F. Early complications and morbidity of radical cystectomy. European Urology Suppl.

2010;9(1):25-30.

- Kamat AM et al. BCG-unresponsive non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer: Recommendations from the IBCG. Nat Rev Urol. 2017;14(4):244-55.
- Lee RK et al. Urinary diversion after radical cystectomy for bladder cancer: Options, patient selection, and outcomes. BJU Int. 2014;113(1):11-23.
- Calmette A. Preventive vaccination against tuberculosis with BCG. Proc R Soc Med. 1931;24(11):1481-90.
- Calmette A et al. La vaccination preventive contre la tuberculose par le "BCG". Paris: Masson et Cie, 1928.
- Zbar B et al. Immunotherapy of cancer: regression of intradermal tumors and prevention of growth of lymph node metastases after intralesional injection of living *Mycobacterium bovis*. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1972;49(1):119-30.
- Morales A et al. Intracavitary Bacillus Calmette-Guerin in the treatment of superficial bladder tumors. J Urol. 1976;116(2):180-2.
- Babjuk M et al. European Association of Urology guidelines on non-muscleinvasive bladder cancer (TaT1 and

carcinoma in situ) - 2019 update. Eur Urol. 2019;76(5):639-57.

- Martin-Doyle W et al. Improving selection criteria for early cystectomy in high-grade T1 bladder cancer: a meta-analysis of 15,215 patients. J Clin Oncol. 2015;33(6):643-50.
- Kulkarni GS et al. An updated critical analysis of the treatment strategy for newly diagnosed high-grade T1 (previously T1G3) bladder cancer. Eur Urol. 2010;57(1):60-70.
- Svatek RS et al. Discrepancy between clinical and pathological stage: external validation of the impact on prognosis in an international radical cystectomy cohort. BJU Int. 2011;107(6):898-904.
- Abdollah F et al. Incidence, survival and mortality rates of stage-specific bladder cancer in United States: a trend analysis. Cancer Epidemiol. 2013;37(3):219-25.
- Kamat AM et al. Definitions, end points, and clinical trial designs for non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer: recommendations from the International Bladder Cancer Group. J Clin Oncol. 2016;34(16):1935-44.
- Kim JW et al. Emerging immunotherapies for bladder cancer.

Curr Opin Oncol. 2015;27(3):191-200.

- Tomaszewski JJ, Smaldone MC. Emerging intravesical therapies for management of nonmuscle invasive bladder cancer. Open Access J Urol. 2010;2:67-84.
- Werntz RP et al. Emerging therapies in the management of high-risk non-muscle invasive bladder cancer (HRNMIBC). World J Urol. 2019;37(10):2031-40.
- Kim HS, Seo HK. Immune checkpoint inhibitors for urothelial carcinoma. Investig Clin Urol. 2018;59(5):285-96.
- Dobruch J et al. Gender and bladder cancer: a collaborative review of etiology, biology, and outcomes. Eur Urol. 2016;69(2):300-10.
- Crivelli JJ et al. Effect of smoking on outcomes of urothelial carcinoma: A systematic review of the literature. Eur Urol. 2014;65(4):742-54.
- 25. Divrik RT et al. Impact of routine second transurethral resection on the long-term outcome of patients with newly diagnosed pT1 urothelial carcinoma with respect to recurrence, progression rate, and disease-specific survival: a prospective, randomised clinical trial. Eur Urol. 2010;58(2):185-90.
- 26. Herr HW. Restaging transurethral resection of high risk superficial bladder cancer improves the initial response to bacillus Calmette-Guerin therapy. J Urol. 2005;174(6):2134-7.
- 27. Herr HW et al. Can restaging transurethral resection of T1 bladder cancer select patients for immediate cystectomy? J Urol. 2007;177(1):75-9.
- Palou J et al. Recurrence, progression and cancer-specific mortality according to stage at re-TUR in TIG3 bladder cancer patients with BCG: Not as bad as previously though. World J Urol. 2019;36(10):1621-7.
- 29. Xylinas E et al. Accuracy of the EORTC risk tables and of the CUETO scoring model to predict outcomes in non-muscle-invasive urothelial carcinoma of the bladder. Br J Cancer. 2013;109(6):1460-6.
- Lamm DL et al. Maintenance bacillus Calmette-Guerin immunotherapy for recurrent TA, T1 and carcinoma in situ transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder: A randomized Southwest Oncology Group study. J Urol. 2000;163(4):1124-9.
- Mmeje CO et al. Papillary recurrence of bladder cancer at first evaluation after induction bacillus Calmette-Guérin therapy: implication for clinical trial design. Eur Urol. 2016;70(5):778-85.
- 32. Shariat SF et al. Bladder cancer in the elderly. Urol Oncol. 2009;27(6):653-

67.

- Shariat SF et al. Six essential conditions for bladder-sparing strategies in bacillus Calmette-Guérin unresponsive bladder cancer. Immunotherapy. 2019;11(13):1083-6.
- 34. Malmström PU et al. 5-year followup of a randomized prospective study comparing mitomycin C and bacillus Calmette-Guerin in patients with superficial bladder carcinoma. Swedish-Norwegian Bladder Cancer Study Group. J Urol. 1999;161(4):1124-7.
- 35. Dinney CP et al. Intravesical valrubicin in patients with bladder carcinoma in site and contraindication to or failure after bacillus Calmette-Guérin. Urol Oncol. 2013;31(8):1635-42.
- Skinner EC et al. SWOG S0353: Phase II trial of intravesical gemcitabine in patients with nonmuscle invasive bladder cancer and recurrence after 2 prior courses of intravesical bacillus Calmette-Guérin. J Urol. 2013;190(4):1200-4.
- Barlow LJ et al. Long-term survival outcomes with intravesical docetaxel for recurrent nonmuscle invasive bladder cancer after previous bacillus Calmette-Guérin therapy. J Urol. 2013;189(3):834-9.
- DeCastro GJ et al. A Phase I trial for the use of intravesical cabazitaxel, gemcitabine, and cisplatin (CGC) in the treatment of BCG-refractory nonmuscle invasive urothelial carcinoma of the bladder. J Clin Oncol. 2017;35(6 Suppl):313.
- Samyang Biopharmaceuticals Corporation. Study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of intravesical Nanoxel[®]M in BCG refractory NMIBC. NCT02982395. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT02982395.
- 40. Nativ O et al. Combined thermochemotherapy for recurrent bladder cancer after bacillus Calmette-Guerin. J Urol. 2009;182(4):1313-7.
- Tan WS et al. Radiofrequencyinduced thermo-chemotherapy effect versus a second course of bacillus Calmette-Guérin or institutional standard in patients with recurrence of non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer following induction or maintenance bacillus Calmette-Guérin therapy (HYPM): a Phase III, open-label, randomised controlled trial. Eur Urol. 2019;75(1):63-71.
- 42. de Jong JJ et al. Hyperthermic intravesical chemotherapy for BCG unresponsive non-muscle invasive bladder cancer patients. Bladder Cancer 2018;4(4):395-401.
- 43. Papageorgiou A et al. Interferonalpha induces TRAIL expression and

cell death via an IRF-dependent mechanism in human bladder cancer cells. Cancer Biol Ther. 2007;6(6):872-9.

- 44. Yamashita M et al. Syn3 provides high levels of intravesical adenoviral-mediated gene transfer for gene therapy of genetically altered urothelium and superficial bladder cancer. Cancer Gene Ther. 2002;9(8):687-91.
- 45. Dinney CP et al. Phase I trial of intravesical recombinant adenovirus mediated interferon-α2b formulated in Syn3 for bacillus Calmette-Guérin failures in nonmuscle invasive bladder cancer. J Urol. 2013;190(3):850-6.
- Shore ND et al. Intravesical rAd-IFNα/Syn3 for patients with high-grade, bacillus Calmette-Guerin-refractory or relapsed nonmuscle-invasive bladder cancer: a Phase II randomized study. J Clin Oncol. 2017;35(30):3410-6.
- Rosenberg JE et al. Atezolizumab in patients with locally advanced and metastatic urothelial carcinoma who have progressed following treatment with platinum-based chemotherapy: a single-arm, multicentre, Phase 2 trial. Lancet. 2016:387(10031):1909-20.
- 48. Hoffman-Censits JH et al. IMvigor 210, a Phase II trial of atezolizumab (MPDL3280A) in platinum-treated locally advanced or metastatic urothelial carcinoma (mUC). J Clin Oncol. 2016:34(Suppl 2S):355.
- Sharma P et al. Efficacy and safety of nivolumab monotherapy in metastatic urothelial cancer (mUC): results from the Phase I/II CheckMate 032 study. J Clin Oncol. 2016;34(Suppl 15):4501.
- 50. Bellmunt J et al. Pembrolizumab as second-line therapy for advanced urothelial carcinoma. N Engl J Med. 2017;376(11):1015-26.
- Massard C et al. Safety and efficacy of durvalumab (MEDI4736), an anti-programmed cell death ligand-1 immune checkpoint inhibitor, in patients with advanced urothelial bladder cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2016;34(26):3119-25.
- 52. Apolo AB et al. Avelumab (MSB0010718C; anti-PD-L1) in patients with metastatic urothelial carcinoma from the JAVELIN solid tumor Phase 1b trial: analysis of safety, clinical activity, and PD-L1 expression. J Clin Oncol. 2016;34(Suppl 15):4514.
- Necchi A et al. Pembrolizumab as neoadjuvant therapy before radical cystectomy in patients with muscleinvasive urothelial bladder carcinoma (PURE-01): an open-label, singlearm, Phase II study. J Clin Oncol.

2018;36(34):3353-60.

- Necchi A et al. Comprehensive biomarker analyses and updated results of PURE-01 study: neoadjuvant pembrolizumab (Pembro) in muscle-invasive urothelial bladder carcinoma (MIBC). Ann Oncol. 2018;29(Suppl 8):viii303-31.
- 55. Powles et al. A phase II study investigating the safety and efficacy of neoadjuvant atezolizumab in muscle invasive bladder cancer (ABACUS). J Clin Oncol. 2018;36(Suppl 15):4506.
- 56. Powles T et al. Atezolizumab versus chemotherapy in patients with platinum-treated locally advanced or metastatic urothelial carcinoma (IMvigor211): a multicenter, openlabel, Phase 3 randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2018;391(10122):748-57.
- 57. Uro Today. ASCO 2018: A Phase II study investigating the safety and efficacy of neoadjuvant atezolizumab in muscle invasive bladder cancer (ABACUS). 2018. Available at: https://www.urotoday.com/ conference-highlights/asco-2018/ asco-2018-bladder-cancer/104890asco-2018-a-phase-ii-studyinvestigating-the-safety-and-efficacy-

of-neoadjuvant-atezolizumab-inmuscle-invasive-bladder-cancerabacus.html. Last accessed: 18 November 2019

- Hoimes C et al. LBA33 A phase Ib/II study of neoadjuvant pembrolizumab (pembro) and chemotherapy for locally advanced urothelial cancer (UC). Ann Oncol. 2018;29(Suppl 8).
- 59. Balar AV et al. Keynote 057: Phase Il trial of pembrolizumab (pembro) for patients (pts) with high-risk (HR) nonmuscle invasive bladder cancer (NMIBC) unresponsive to bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG). J Clin Oncol. 2019;37(Suppl 7):350.