Progression of Valvular Calcification is Associated with 10-Year Change in Left Ventricular Structure and Incident Heart Failure: MESA

Authors: Oluwaseun Fashanu,^{1,2} Sireesha Upadhrasta,¹ Di Zhao,³ Matthew J. Budoff,⁴ Ambarish Pandey,⁵ Joao A.C. Lima,⁶ *Erin D. Michos^{2,3}

- 1. Department of Medicine, Saint Agnes Hospital, Baltimore, Maryland, USA
- 2. Ciccarone Center for the Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA
- Department of Epidemiology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA
- 4. The Lundquist Institute, University of California Los Angeles, Torrance, California, USA
- 5. Division of Cardiology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas, USA
- 6. Division of Cardiology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA
- *Correspondence to edonnell@jhmi.edu

Disclosure: Dr Budoff receives grant support from General Electric. The other authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements: The authors thank the other investigators, the staff, and the MESA participants for their valuable contributions. A full list of participating MESA investigators and institutions can be found at http://www.mesa-nhlbi.org.

Keywords: Aortic valve calcification (AVC), heart failure (HF), heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF), heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF), left ventricular (LV) function, left ventricular (LV) structure, mitral annular calcification (MAC), valvular calcification (VC).

Citation: EMJ Cardiol. 2020;DOI/10.33590/ emjcardiol/2008312

INTRODUCTION

Heart failure (HF) is a leading cause of morbidity.¹ It results from impairment in ventricular filling or relaxation and can be broadly classified as heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) or with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF).² Strategies for preventing HF are paramount. Prevalent coronary artery calcium and extracoronary calcification are associated with future coronary heart disease³⁻⁷ and HF⁸ events. Less is known about the impact of progression of valvular calcification (VC) (mitral annular [MAC] and aortic valve calcification [AVC]) on HF risk.

OBJECTIVE

The authors assessed the prospective association between VC progression and incident HF and its subtypes, as well as indices of left ventricular (LV) structure and function.

METHODS

The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) is an ethnically diverse cohort of females and males who were free from clinical cardiovascular disease and HF at baseline. VC progression (interval change of >0 units/year) was assessed by two cardiac CT scans over a median of 2.4 years and was defined as 0 for no progression, 1 for progression at only one valve site (AVC or MAC), and 2 for progression at both sites (AVC and MAC). The authors also assessed progression at each individual valve site creating a binary variable, i.e., AVC/MAC progression versus no AVC/MAC progression. Cox regression was used to determine the risk of adjudicated HF and linear mixed effects models to determine 10-year change in LV parameters measured by cardiac MRI associated with VC progression. HFpEF was defined as having an ejection fraction ≥50% and HFrEF was defined as <50%.

RESULTS

The study included 5,591 MESA participants with a mean (± standard deviation) age of 62 (±10) years, 53% were women, and 40% of participants were Caucasian. Twelve percent had prevalent AVC and 9% had prevalent MAC, while 10% had AVC progression and 10% had MAC progression. Eighty-three percent had no VC progression, 15% progressed at only one site (AVC or MAC), and 3% progressed at both sites. There were 251 incidents of HF over 15 years. Table 1: Association between progression of valvular calcification, heart failure, and markers of left ventricular structure and function.

Outcomes	Number of valve sites with progression of calcification			p-for-trend
	No progression	One site only (AVC or MAC)	Two sites (AVC and MAC)	
	Hazaro	d ratio (95% confidence i	nterval)	
Total heart failure	1 (reference)	1.62 (1.21-2.17)	1.88 (1.14-3.09)	<0.001
HFpEF	1 (reference)	2.52 (1.63-3.90)	2.49 (1.19-5.25)	<0.001
HFrEF	1 (reference)	1.07 (0.68–1.69)	1.28 (0.57-2.86)	0.550
Average 10-year change in markers of LV structure and function* (β [95% confidence interval])				
LV mass-to-volume ratio	0 (reference)	3.45 (0.79, 6.11)	5.07 (-1.11, 11.26)	0.004
LV mass	0 (reference)	1.25 (0.04, 2.46)	0.86 (-1.96, 3.68)	0.060
LV end diastolic volume	0 (reference)	-0.13 (-1.63, 1.36)	-0.88 (-4.36, 2.61)	0.660
LV end systolic volume	0 (reference)	1.35 (0.45, 2.25)	-0.40 (-2.50, 1.70)	0.050
LV stroke volume	0 (reference)	-3.68 (-5.79, -1.57)	-2.07 (-6.99, 2.85)	0.002
LV ejection fraction	0 (reference)	-1.78 (-2.72, -0.84)	0.01 (-2.18, 2.21)	0.010

AVC: aortic valve calcification; HFpEF: heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; HFrEF: heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; LV: left ventricular; MAC: mitral annular calcification.

*Analysis limited to 2,748 participants with cardiac MRI data.

Bolded results are statistically significant, p<0.05.

Results are adjusted for age, race/ethnicity, sex, MESA site, CT scanner type, time between baseline and follow-up CT scans, educational status, BMI, smoking status, pack-years of smoking, physical activity, systolic blood pressure, use of antihypertensives, use of lipid lowering medications, total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, diabetes status, and estimated glomerular filtration rate.

After adjusting for cardiovascular risk factors, the hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) of HF associated with VC progression at one and both sites were 1.62 and 1.21–2.17, and 1.88 and 1.14–3.09, respectively, compared with no progression. HR were stronger for HFpEF (HR: 2.52; 95% CI: 1.63–3.90 and HR: 2.49; 95% CI: 1.19–5.25) but not significant for HFrEF. Both AVC and MAC progression were associated with HF (HR: 1.61; 95% CI: 1.19–2.19 and HR: 1.50; 95% CI: 1.09–2.07, respectively), and with HFpEF (HR: 1.84; 95% CI: 1.16–2.94 and HR: 2.30; 95% CI: 1.47–3.59), respectively). There was worsening of some LV parameters over 10 years (Table 1).

CONCLUSION

The authors found VC progression over a median of 2.4 years to be associated with higher risk of HF, HFpEF, and various indices of LV structure and function. There was no significant association with HFrEF and LV end diastolic volume. It is unknown if interventions aimed at reducing VC progression will reduce HF and HFpEF risk.

References

- 1. Virani SS et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics-2020 update: a report from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2020; 141:e139-e596.
- 2. Yancy Clyde W et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure. Circulation. 2013;128: e240-e327.
- Criqui MH et al. Calcium density of coronary artery plaque and risk of incident cardiovascular events. JAMA. 2014;311(3):271-8.
- Owens DS et al. Aortic valve calcium independently predicts coronary and cardiovascular events in a primary prevention population. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2012;5(6):619-25.
- Tison GH et al. Multisite extracoronary calcification indicates increased risk of coronary heart disease and allcause mortality: the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr. 2015;9(5):406-14.
- Kim J et al. Thoracic aortic calcium, cardiovascular disease events, and all-cause mortality in asymptomatic individuals with zero coronary calcium: the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). Atherosclerosis. 2016;257:1-8.
- 7. Thomas IC et al. Density of calcium in the ascending thoracic aorta and risk of incident cardiovascular disease events. Atherosclerosis. 2017;265:190-6.
- Leening MJG et al. Coronary calcification and the risk of heart failure in the elderly: the Rotterdam Study. JACC: Cardiovasc Imaging. 2012;5(9):874-80.