EMJ oncology

Vol. 9.3 May 2021

emjreviews.com

17th St Gallen International Breast Cancer Conference

Reimagining Treatment of HR+, HER2- Early Breast Cancer



Reimagining Treatment of HR+, HER2- Early Breast Cancer

This virtual satellite symposium took place on 18th March 2021, as part of the 17th St Gallen International Breast Cancer Conference

Speakers:	Aleix Prat, ¹ Giuseppe Curigliano ² 1. Department of Medical Oncology, Hospital Clinic of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain 2. European Institute of Oncology, IRCCS, University of Milan, Milan, Italy
Disclosure:	Dr Prat has received honoraria from Amgen, Daiichi Sankyo, Guardant Health, Lilly, MSD Oncology, Novartis, Pfizer, and Roche; research funding (institution) from Incyte, Novartis, Puma Biotechnology, and Roche; travel, accommodation, and other expenses from Daiichi Sankyo; has a consultant/advisory role at AbbVie, Amgen, AstraZeneca, Boehringer, Bristol Myers Squibb, Daiichi Sankyo, Novartis, Oncolytics Biotech, Pfizer, Puma Biotechnology, and Roche; has a consultant/advisory role as an institution at NanoString Technologies; has stock and other ownership interests in Reveal Genomics; has patents on HER2 predictors and chemoendocrine score, and filing of HER2DX; is on the scientific advisory boards for Oncolytics Biotech and Peptomyc; and has an immediate family member employed at Novartis. Dr Curigliano has received grants and honoraria for advisory board involvement from AstraZeneca, Daiichi Sankyo, Genomic Health, Hoffmann LaRoche, Merck, Novartis, and Pfizer.
Acknowledgements:	Medical writing assistance was provided by Dr Brigitte Scott, MarYas Editorial Services, Cowlinge, UK.
Support:	The symposium and publication of this article were funded by Novartis. The views and opinions expressed are those of the speakers and not necessarily of Novartis.
Citation:	EMJ Oncol. 2021;9(Suppl 3):2-10.

Meeting Summary

This satellite symposium took place during the virtual 17th St Gallen International Breast Cancer Conference 2021. The objectives of the satellite symposium were to gain an understanding of key concepts within hormone receptor-positive (HR+), human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-negative (HER2-) early breast cancer (EBC) that impact treatment selection, such as risk of recurrence and how to define it, residual disease, burden of disease, and safety considerations. A further aim was to learn about current evidence from (neo)adjuvant cyclin-dependent kinase 4 and 6 (CDK4/6) inhibitor studies, including the interpretation of recently presented pivotal Phase III adjuvant studies of compounds in this class. Other goals were to discuss key differences between CDK4/6 inhibitors, and to understand current unmet needs and the future of HR+, HER2- EBC. Dr Prat described the three main independently prognostic variables that should be considered when determining the risk of recurrence for patients with EBC as tumour size, nodal status, and tumour biology, and that the intrinsic subtypes of breast cancer (BC) tumours have predictive value in EBC and a clinical impact on prognosis. Dr Curigliano explained that the rationale for CDK4/6 inhibitors in EBC included control of micrometastatic disease and efficacy in endocrine-resistant tumours (according to the ESO-ESMO ABC5 definition, patients with primary endocrine resistance are those who relapse within the first 2 years of adjuvant endocrine therapy [ET] or who progress within the first 6 months of first-line ET for advanced breast cancer [ABC]). He introduced the four key adjuvant CDK4/6 inhibitor studies in EBC:

PALLAS and PENELOPE B for palbociclib, monarchE for abemaciclib, and NATALEE for ribociclib. He acknowledged that in the EBC setting, more so than in the ABC setting, adverse events have a negative impact on treatment adherence and overall quality of life and may lead to treatment discontinuation. Dr Prat highlighted the unmet need in Stage II (intermediate-risk) patients and that current studies did not select patients based on molecular subtypes. Patients in PALLAS, PENELOPE-B, and monarchE were treated for ≤ 2 years on doses matching those in the ABC setting. Treatment in NATALEE is for 3 years at a lower dose than in ABC and the results are awaited with interest to see whether longer, lower-dose treatment improves outcomes in patients with EBC with endocrine-resistant tumours and in those with endocrine-sensitive tumours.

RECURRENCE RISK: A CLINICAL OR GENOMIC ASSESSMENT?

Features Determining Risk of Recurrence for Patients With Early Breast Cancer

Dr Prat explained that there are three main variables that should be considered when determining the risk of recurrence for patients with EBC: tumour size, nodal status, and tumour biology, with all three variables providing independent prognostic information. Tumour biology is becoming increasingly important in understanding disease recurrence and can be determined using several different methods, the including biomarker of proliferation, Ki-67, which indicates the proliferation status of tumour cells; histological grade; and, more recently, genomic (gene expression-based) assays that provide insight into the intrinsic biology of tumours (e.g., the PAM50 assay that is used to categorise breast tumours into intrinsic subtypes). These methods help to determine prognosis from a biological perspective. Dr Prat emphasised the need to integrate all these data variables to better predict patient outcomes in EBC. For example, the integration of tumour staging and Ki-67 expression levels clearly shows that high stage (Stage III) and intermediate (10-19%) or high (≥20%) Ki-67 levels are associated with poorer outcome than lower stage tumours (≤Stage II) and low levels (<10%) of Ki-67 expression in patients with HR+, HER2- EBC.¹

Differences in Tumour Biology of HR+, HER2- Early Breast Cancer

Dr Prat described that the gene expression profile of HR+, HER2- disease was for many years thought to comprise two entities, luminal A and luminal B, which are dominated by genes normally expressed by luminal breast epithelial cells and for which cell proliferation is the main distinguisher between the two; however, now there is increasing realisation that there are additional, nonluminal intrinsic subtypes.²⁻⁴ Dr Prat explained that within early ER+, HER2disease, for example, a substantial proportion of tumours (10–15%) are not luminal and are mostly HER2-enriched (HER2-E).³ He summarised these HER2-E tumours as less oestrogen-dependent, more aggressive, more proliferative, and with high expression of HER2 or HER2-related genes. Basal-like tumours, which express genes typical of myoepithelial/basal epithelial cells, are identified in a small subset of patients with HR+, HER2- disease (approximately 1-18%).4

These differences in underlying tumour biology have a clinical impact on prognosis. A retrospective study of distant relapse-free survival (DRFS) according to intrinsic subtype in patients with ER+, node-negative or node-positive BC who received local therapy and 5 years of tamoxifen (i.e., they received no chemotherapy) showed that the subtypes were prognostic.⁵ Long-term outcomes were clearly worse for luminal B versus luminal A tumours in patients with node-negative (DRFS at 5.0 years: 84.77% versus 95.59%; DRFS at 8.5 years: 75.30% versus 90.88%) and node-positive (DRFS at 5.0 years: 67.61% versus 84.51%; DRFS at 8.5 years: 53.37% versus 74.51%) disease.⁵

Risk of recurrence was highest among the patients with nonluminal tumours, with HER2-E tumours associated with particularly poor outcome in node-positive BC (DRFS at 5.0 and 8.5 years in node-negative patients: 77.2% and 73.7%, respectively; and in node-positive patients: 64.24% and 53.30%).⁵ These results indicate patients with nonluminal BC have a poor prognosis and do not seem to benefit from treatment with tamoxifen alone.

Dr Prat highlighted that there is a substantial proportion of patients with HR+, HER2- EBC who have poor outcomes with standard therapy (ET with or without chemotherapy) and new treatments need to be evaluated in this area.

RATIONALE FOR CDK4/6 INHIBITORS IN EARLY BREAST CANCER

Control of Micrometastatic Disease

Dr Curigliano described cellular quiescence as a mechanism that may induce metastatic dormancy⁶ and suggested that the mechanism of action of CDK4/6 inhibitors may include inducing a state of quiescence, thus controlling micrometastatic disease (e.g., in the bone). Indeed, CDK4 inhibition has been shown to lead to cell senescence (irreversible cell cycle arrest),⁷⁻⁹ with a combination of CDK4/6 inhibitors and ET expected to achieve better control of micrometastatic disease than ET alone.

Efficacy in Endocrine Therapy-Resistant Tumours

CDK4 and the HER2-E molecular subtype have been identified as markers of ET resistance.¹⁰⁻¹² For example, biomarker analyses to investigate the correlation with progression-free survival (PFS) in baseline tumour tissues from PALOMA-2 showed that high CDK4 expression was associated with shorter median PFS than low CDK4 expression (palbociclib plus letrozole: 22.4 versus 27.6 months, p=0.127; placebo plus letrozole: 10.2 versus 21.9 months, p=0.000779).¹¹ Furthermore, baseline and surgery Ki-67 data from the American College of Surgeons Oncology Group (ACOSOG) Z1031, in which postmenopausal women with clinical Stage II-III, ER+ BC received neoadjuvant aromatase inhibitor therapy, showed that the HER2-E subtype is less endocrine sensitive compared with luminal A and luminal B subtypes, with only a modest drop in median Ki-67 from baseline to surgery from 59.4% (range: 37.8-90.5%) to 35.7% (range: 21.6-88.2%) after 4-6 months of aromatase inhibitor therapy.¹²

Efficacy of CDK4/6 Inhibitors in Advanced Breast Cancer by Molecular Subtype

Dr Prat presented a retrospective pooled analysis of >1,000 patients from the MONALEESA programme,¹³ comprising MONALEESA-2,¹⁴⁻¹⁷ MONALEESA-3,¹⁸⁻²⁰ and MONALEESA-7,²¹⁻²³ in which intrinsic tumour subtypes (assessed using the PAM50 assay) were shown to be prognostic and, surprisingly, predictive in the metastatic setting. The addition of ribociclib to ET improved PFS in both luminal A and luminal B subtypes and in ET-resistant, HER2-E tumours.²⁴

Survival probability was statistically significantly greater with ribociclib plus ET compared with placebo plus ET in luminal A (hazard ratio [HR]: 0.63; p<0.001) and luminal B (HR: 0.52; p<0.001) tumours.²⁴ According to Dr Prat, the most surprising data were those in HER2-E patients, who had very poor outcome with ET alone and derived the greatest benefit from the addition of ribociclib (HR: 0.39; p<0.001). He hypothesised that the efficacy of ribociclib in HER2-E patients may be because the drug increases the endocrine sensitivity of HER2-E tumours.

Impact of (Neo)adjuvant CDK4/6 Inhibitors Plus Endocrine Therapy in Early Breast Cancer Subgroups with High-Risk Biomarkers

According to the speakers, there are some data on CDK4/6 inhibitors in the EBC setting but more data with intrinsic subtyping are needed, particularly in Phase III trials. Analysis of luminal B and Ki-67-high populations showed the potential CDK4/6 inhibitor-based (neo)adjuvant of therapy.²⁵⁻²⁷ Data from the CORALLEEN^{25,28} study showed that the efficacy of neoadjuvant ribociclib plus letrozole in luminal B tumours selected using PAM50 testing was similar to that with chemotherapy alone, leading to 47% and 46% of patients reaching the definition of low risk of relapse at surgery, respectively. Data from the NeoPAL^{26,29} study in luminal B tumours showed the residual cancer burden 0-1 endpoint after neoadjuvant chemotherapy was reached by 7% of patients with palbociclib versus 13% with chemotherapy. Further data from the monarchE^{27,30} study showed the 2-year invasive disease-free survival (DFS) rate was

91.3% with abemaciclib plus ET versus 86.1% with ET alone in Ki-67-high patients and 94.7% and 92.0%, respectively in Ki-67-low patients. Dr Prat outlined that the data from these three studies indicate high-risk EBC populations may benefit from CDK4/6 inhibition.

Patient Populations in Adjuvant CDK4/6 Inhibitor Studies

Dr Curigliano summarised four large adjuvant CDK4/6 inhibitor studies in EBC: PALLAS^{31,32} and PENELOPE-B,33,34 using palbociclib 125 mg once daily for 2 years and approximately 13 months, respectively; monarchE,^{30,35} investigating abemaciclib 150 mg twice daily for 2 years; and NATALEE,^{36,37} assessing ribociclib 400 mg once daily for 3 years (results not yet available). All studies were in pre- and postmenopausal women and all but PENELOPE-B included men. Treatment was given using a 3 weeks on/1 week off regimen in all studies apart from monarchE, in which abemaciclib was administered in a continuous regimen. Dr Curigliano noted that in NATALEE,^{36,37} ribociclib was used at a lower dose (400 mg/day) than that administered in the metastatic setting (600 mg/day^{17,19,22}) for the longest duration (3 years). The other three studies used the same dose for EBC as for ABC.

The data show that monarchE^{30,35} demonstrated statistically significant improvement in invasive DFS with the addition of CDK4/6 inhibitors to standard of care (abemaciclib versus placebo; HR: 0.71; p=0.0009). Results for palbociclib versus placebo in PALLAS^{31,32} (HR: 0.93; p=0.51) and PENELOPE-B^{33,34} (HR: 0.93; p=0.525) were not statistically significant. Longer follow-up is needed for these abemaciclib and palbociclib studies. Results are awaited for NATALEE.^{36,37}

Treatment Expectations and Impact of Treatment Adherence for Early Versus Advanced Breast Cancer

Treatment expectations differ for early versus advanced BC. Dr Curigliano acknowledged that in the EBC setting, more so than in the ABC setting, adverse events have a negative impact on treatment adherence and overall quality of life,³⁸⁻⁴¹ and may lead to treatment discontinuation.^{31,33,35} A Twitter poll of clinicians (conducted by the speaker; data presented on the slides; no reference available) indicated

38.5% of patients receiving adjuvant hormone therapy for HR+, HER2- EBC are very compliant (>80%), 33.3% are mostly compliant (60-80%), 23.1% are insufficiently compliant (<60%), and compliance fluctuates in 5.1%. Interestingly, in the Breast Cancer Toxicity (CANTO) study, serum analysis showed that not all self-described 'adherent' patients were truly following their regimen.⁴² In the Twitter poll, the most common primary reason for nonadherence to treatment was symptomatic adverse events (54.1%), followed by impact on daily life (24.3%), patients do not see (perceive) the risk/urgency (16.2%), or other reasons (5.4%). Treatment adherence impacts on outcomes as shown by the Breast International Group (BIG) 1-98 trial in which low adherence (<90% compliance) to therapy (tamoxifen and letrozole) was associated with a 61% reduction in DFS.43

WHO MAY BENEFIT FROM CDK4/6 INHIBITORS IN THE EARLY BREAST CANCER SETTING?

Unmet Need in Intermediate-Risk Patients

Dr Prat pointed out that <10% of patients with EBC have Stage III (high-risk) disease with poor outcome, and around one in three patients has Stage II (intermediate-risk) disease, which has poorer prognosis than Stage I (approximately half of patients) and highlights there is an unmet need in Stage II disease as well as in Stage III given the high incidence rate of intermediate risk.^{44,45}

Recent Data from Adjuvant CDK4/6 Inhibitor Studies in Early Breast Cancer

Dr Curigliano clarified that monarchE³⁵ (p=0.0009), but not PALLAS⁴⁶ (p=0.51) and PENELOPE-B³³ (p=0.525), showed a statistically significant improvement in invasive DFS with addition of CDK4/6 inhibitors to standard of care. There are currently no data showing a benefit of CDK4/6 inhibitors in the intermediaterisk population; however, NATALEE^{36,37} includes Stage II patients (as well as Stage III patients) and will provide data on this subgroup.

When asked in the Twitter poll which criteria clinicians would use to determine whether a

patient with HR+, HER2- EBC should receive CDK4/6 inhibitor treatment, 17.8% (of 45 respondents) voted for tumour stage only, 6.7% for tumour stage and Ki-67, and 66.7% for tumour stage and molecular subtyping. Dr Prat remarked that current studies did not select patients based on molecular subtypes; however, he thought the poll results indicated the oncology community was ready to integrate tumour burden with tumour biology to better select patients who might benefit the most from a CDK4/6 inhibitor treatment strategy.

Initial Results from Adjuvant CDK4/6 Inhibitor Studies in Early Breast Cancer Focus on Early Relapse in Endocrine-Resistant Patients

According to the European School of Oncology-European Society for Medical Oncology (ESO-ESMO) ABC5⁴⁷ definition, patients with primary endocrine resistance are those who relapse within the first 2 years of adjuvant ET. Patients in PALLAS,³¹ PENELOPE-B,³³ and monarchE³⁵ were treated for ≤ 2 years; therefore, these studies provide data on patients with early relapse who are defined as having endocrine-resistant disease. Longer follow-up is needed to assess late relapse in endocrine-sensitive populations. In contrast, patients receive ribociclib for 3 years in NATALEE³⁶ and the data will indicate if longer duration of treatment provides increased benefit to patients with endocrine-resistant tumours and those with endocrine-sensitive tumours.

Intrinsic Differences Between CDK4/6 Inhibitors

Dr Prat indicated that data on primary endocrine resistance and molecular subtype in ABC suggest there may be differences between CDK4/6 inhibitors. The ESO-ESMO ABC5 definition of patients with primary endocrine resistance is those who relapse within the first 2 years of adjuvant ET (as mentioned above) and patients who progress within the first 6 months of firstline ET for ABC.⁴⁷ In PALOMA-3,⁴⁸ palbociclib was not associated with any noticeable benefit in endocrine-resistant patients (HR 1.14), whereas there was a tendency for abemaciclib to benefit such patients in MONARCH 2⁴⁹ (HR: 0.686 [primary resistant], 0.787 [secondary resistant]) and for ribociclib to provide benefit for endocrineresistant patients in MONALEESA-3^{50,51} (HR: 0.70) and MONALEESA-7⁵¹ (HR: 0.588). Median PFS for ribociclib plus ET versus placebo plus ET in the HER2-E population of MONALEESA²⁴ was longer at 16.4 versus 5.5 months, whereas that for palbociclib versus placebo (both with ET) in PALOMA-2⁵² was similar at 13.8 versus 11.0 months. The studies used different methods to determine tumour subtype (PAM50 in MONALEESA²⁴ and absolute intrinsic molecular subtyping in PALOMA-2⁵²); however, a high degree of concordance was found between the two methods (76% agreement for crossvalidation⁵³). Direct trial comparisons cannot be made in the absence of well-controlled, headto-head clinical trials; however, these results may indicate potential differences between the CDK4/6 inhibitors.

Further indication of differences between the CDK4/6 inhibitors comes from *in vitro* studies in which ribociclib and abemaciclib were shown to have preferential inhibition of CDK4 over CDK6, whereas palbociclib inhibited the two similarly.⁵⁴ Furthermore, ribociclib and palbociclib show greater kinase selectivity for CDK4 and CDK6 than abemaciclib, and ribociclib achieves higher unbound drug concentrations in plasma than the other two CDK4/6 inhibitors.^{55,56}

Dr Prat indicated these differences between the CDK4/6 inhibitors may impact efficacy and side effects.

CDK4/6 Inhibitor Dose in Advanced Versus Early Breast Cancer

Dr Curigliano reiterated that the daily dose of CDK4/6 inhibitor in EBC trials was the same as that for ABC trials for PALLAS,⁵⁷ PENELOPE-B,³³ and monarchE;^{35,58} however, NATALEE³⁶ used two-thirds of the daily dose used in the ABC setting (400 mg rather than 600 mg^{17,19,22}) and the results from this study are awaited with interest.

Approximately 40–55% of patients in EBC studies require ≥ 1 dose reduction because of adverse events,^{33,35,57,58} whereas real-world evidence indicates dose reduction is required in approximately 80% of patients.⁵⁹ CDK4/6 inhibitor discontinuation appears most likely to occur within the first 6 months of treatment.^{35,57} Dr Curigliano suggested that using a lower dose of CDK4/6 inhibitor may improve

adherence to therapy in EBC by reducing dosedependent toxicities.

Data from studies in the ABC setting indicate CDK4/6 inhibitor dose reduction does not appear to compromise efficacy in terms of PFS for all the CDK4/6 inhibitors and in terms of overall survival for ribociclib.⁶⁰⁻⁶³

Factors Impacting on Adherence to Treatment in Early Breast Cancer

Dr Curigliano explained that there are many factors that may impact on adherence to treatment in patients with EBC. As shown in the Twitter poll, over half of medical oncologists (54%) believe that symptomatic adverse events may impact on treatment adherence. Reduction in patient quality of life, dosing regimen, and duration of therapy are also important.

WHAT MIGHT THE FUTURE LOOK LIKE IN EARLY BREAST CANCER?

Finally, the speakers presented their views on topics that they considered important in shaping the future of EBC, including liquid biopsy for monitoring, ongoing and future studies in EBC, sequencing of CDK4/6 inhibitors, and using CDK4/6 inhibitors as an alternative to chemotherapy.

Liquid Biopsy for Monitoring

Dr Prat considered liquid biopsy to measure circulating tumour DNA (ctDNA) in the blood a promising diagnostic tool in the advanced setting and in early disease. Studies show that ctDNA can be detected in early disease and this enables monitoring of patient response to therapy, e.g., during the neoadjuvant phase. Most importantly, Dr Prat commented, liquid biopsy after primary (loco-regional), neoadjuvant, or adjuvant therapy better enables identification of high-risk patients who are very likely to relapse. In the absence of ctDNA data, he explained, the probability of a patient relapsing is calculated based on tumour size, nodal status, and tumour biology. This calculation provides a percentage likelihood of relapse without considering the individual patient. Patient-specific ctDNA analysis using liquid biopsy enables identification of patients with ctDNA-positive disease and can be a sensitive and specific approach for

disease surveillance for patients with EBC. This is a potentially useful tool to enable optimal management of patients with EBC; however, further studies are required before it is routinely implemented.

Dr Curigliano highlighted residual disease as an important prognostic factor and concurred that measurement of ctDNA could be used to identify high-risk patients who can receive an intervention. He suggested that liquid biopsy should be used as a stratification factor, with different outcomes expected for ctDNA-positive and ctDNA-negative patients.⁶⁴

Current and Future Studies in Early Breast Cancer

Dr Prat emphasised that conducting studies in EBC is challenging because of the slow nature of the endpoints and the time and resources needed. Many studies are ongoing and will guide the direction of the next research step.

Dr Curigliano indicated that analysing the data in EBC is important to provide more information on the genomics and molecular biology of patients with early disease and a better understanding of the outcomes in patients with luminal A versus luminal B breast cancer. He also highlighted the paucity of information on patients with intermediate risk of recurrence and pointed out the need to clinically and genomically assess such patients and ascertain whether escalation of CDK4/6 inhibitors in these patients is useful.

Sequencing of CDK4/6 Inhibitors

According to Dr Prat, one aspect that will become increasingly important as CDK4/6 inhibitors are integrated in the treatment of EBC is whether and how to sequence these drugs following relapse. He questioned whether it is possible to retreat patients with CDK4/6 inhibitors, particularly as disease recurrence may be many years after stopping CDK4/6 inhibitors in early disease and such patients may still be sensitive to these drugs. Further clinical trials are needed to investigate this issue.

Whether and when CDK4/6 inhibitors are reintroduced in a metastatic setting following relapse after adjuvant treatment with these drugs depends on the treatment-free interval, claimed Dr Curigliano. He suggested that rechallenge with CDK4/6 inhibitors could occur following a treatment-free period of >6 months.

CDK4/6 Inhibitors as an Alternative to Chemotherapy

According to Dr Prat, an interesting strategy to consider that differs from current Phase III study approaches is to use CDK4/6 inhibitors to decrease or even avoid chemotherapy, particularly considering these inhibitors in the neoadjuvant setting have produced similar results to chemotherapy.^{25,26} He advised that this area needs attention and could be of great benefit for patients. Dr Curigliano noted that many patients with BC receive chemotherapy in the adjuvant setting even if there is no confirmation of eligibility for such treatment. He estimated that currently approximately 60% of patients with HR+, HER2- disease in the real-world setting (e.g., in Italy) receive chemotherapy when there is no access to genomic testing, and he advocated for adjuvant studies with escalation of CDK4/6 inhibitors and de-escalation of chemotherapy in intermediate-risk patients.

CONCLUSIONS

Dr Prat acknowledged that EBC is clearly different to ABC and that many more studies are needed in patients with early disease. He emphasised the need for detailed study of tumour response to therapies to guide patient selection; however, as it is not possible to run numerous Phase III studies in thousands of patients, investing effort in the neoadjuvant setting would help inform study design. Dr Curigliano concurred that many more studies are needed in the neoadjuvant setting and that adjuvant studies should comprise ctDNA-positive patients with high endocrine resistance.

Dr Prat concluded that risk assessment is critical, with tumour burden and biological data being key components. Predictive biomarkers are needed to assess which patients are at risk of relapse and who will benefit from treatment. He acknowledged the challenges in defining the patient populations that may benefit from treatment, and the method and duration of treatment delivery. Understanding EBC is at an early stage; however, there are new data coming (e.g., from NATALEE) and increased knowledge in this area will enable the development of strategies to reduce or circumvent chemotherapy, which will benefit patients. Dr Curigliano concluded that the future of escalation and deescalation of treatment in EBC is strictly related to better risk stratification.

References

- Fasching PA et al. Prognostic effect of Ki-67 in common clinical subgroups of patients with HER2negative, hormone receptor-positive early breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2019;175(3):617-25.
- 2. Godoy-Ortiz A et al. Deciphering HER2 breast cancer disease: biological and clinical implications. Front Oncol. 2019;9:1124.
- Russnes HG et al. Breast cancer molecular stratification: from intrinsic subtypes to integrative clusters. Am J Pathol. 2017;187(10):2152-62.
- 4. Cheang MC et al. Defining breast cancer intrinsic subtypes by quantitative receptor expression. Oncologist. 2015;20(5):474-82.
- 5. Prat A et al. Concordance among gene expression-based predictors

for ER-positive breast cancer treated with adjuvant tamoxifen. Ann Oncol. 2012;23(11):2866-73.

- Zhang XH et al. Metastasis dormancy in estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2013;19(23):6389-97.
- Kovatcheva M et al. MDM2 turnover and expression of ATRX determine the choice between quiescence and senescence in response to CDK4 inhibition. Oncotarget. 2015;6(10):8226 43.
- Rader J et al. Dual CDK4/CDK6 inhibition induces cell-cycle arrest and senescence in neuroblastoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2013;19(22):6173-82.
- Klein ME et al. CDK4/6 inhibitors: the mechanism of action may not be as simple as once thought. Cancer Cell.

2018;34(1):9-20.

- Miller TW et al. ERα-dependent E2F transcription can mediate resistance to estrogen deprivation in human breast cancer. Cancer Discov. 2011;1(4):338-51.
- Finn RS et al. Biomarker analyses of response to cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 inhibition and endocrine therapy in women with treatment-naïve metastatic breast cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2020;26(1):110-21.
- 12. Ellis MJ et al. Randomized Phase II neoadjuvant comparison between letrozole, anastrozole, and exemestane for postmenopausal women with estrogen receptorrich stage 2 to 3 breast cancer: clinical and biomarker outcomes and predictive value of the baseline

PAM50-based intrinsic subtype - ACOSOG Z1031. J Clin Oncol. 2011;29(17):2342-9.

- Yardley DA. MONALEESA clinical program: a review of ribociclib use in different clinical settings. Future Oncol. 2019;15(23):2673-86.
- Novartis Pharmaceuticals. A randomized double-blind, placebocontrolled study of LEE011 in combination with letrozole for the treatment of postmenopausal women with hormone receptor positive, HER2 negative, advanced breast cancer who received no prior therapy for advanced disease. NCT01958021. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT01958021.
- Hortobagyi GN et al. Ribociclib as first-line therapy for HR-positive, advanced breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 2016;375(18):1738-48. Erratum in N Engl J Med. 2018;379(26):2582.
- Hortobagyi GN. Ribociclib for the first-line treatment of advanced hormone receptor positive breast cancer: a review of subgroup analyses from the MONALEESA-2 trial. Breast Cancer Res. 2018;20(1):123.
- Hortobagyi GN et al. Updated results from MONALEESA-2, a Phase III trial of first-line ribociclib plus letrozole versus placebo plus letrozole in hormone receptor-positive, HER2 negative advanced breast cancer. Ann Oncol. 2018;29(7):1541-7. Erratum in: Ann Oncol. 2019;30(11):1842.
- Novartis Pharmaceuticals. A randomized double-blind, placebocontrolled study of ribociclib in combination with fulvestrant for the treatment of men and postmenopausal women with hormone receptor positive, HER2negative, advanced breast cancer who have received no or only one line of prior endocrine treatment. NCT02422615. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT02422615.
- Slamon DJ et al. Phase III randomized study of ribociclib and fulvestrant in hormone receptor-positive, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-negative advanced breast cancer: MONALEESA-3. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36(24):2465-72.
- Slamon DJ et al. Overall survival (OS) results of the phase III MONALEESA 3 trial of postmenopausal patients (PTS) with hormone receptor positive (HR+), human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 negative (HER2-) advanced breast cancer (ABC) treated with fulvestrant (FUL) + ribociclib (RIB). Ann Oncol. 2019;30(Suppl 5):v856-7.
- 21. Novartis Pharmaceuticals. A Phase III randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of LEE011 or placebo in combination with tamoxifen and goserelin or a nonsteroidal aromatase inhibitor (NSAI) and goserelin for the treatment

of premenopausal women with hormone receptor positive, HER2negative, advanced breast cancer. NCT02278120. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT02278120.

- Tripathy D et al. Ribociclib plus endocrine therapy for premenopausal women with hormone-receptorpositive, advanced breast cancer (MONALEESA-7): a randomised Phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2018;19(7):904-15.
- Hurvitz SA et al. Phase III MONALEESA-7 trial of premenopausal patients with HR+/ HER2- advanced breast cancer (ABC) treated with endocrine therapy ± ribociclib: overall survival (OS) results. J Clin Oncol. 2019;37(18 Suppl):LBA1008.
- 24. Prat A et al. Correlative biomarker analysis of intrinsic subtypes and efficacy across the MONALEESA Phase III studies. Oral Presentation GS1 04. SABCS, 8-11 December, 2020.
- 25. Prat A et al. Ribociclib plus letrozole versus chemotherapy for postmenopausal women with hormone receptor-positive, HER2negative, luminal B breast cancer (CORALLEEN): an open-label, multicentre, randomised, Phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2020;21(1):33-43.
- Cottu P et al. Letrozole and palbociclib versus chemotherapy as neoadjuvant therapy of high risk luminal breast cancer. Ann Oncol. 2018;29(12):2334-40.
- Harbeck N et al. High Ki-67 as a biomarker for identifying patients with high risk early breast cancer treated in monarchE. Poster PD2-01. SABCS, 8-11 December, 2020.
- SOLTI Breast Cancer Research Group. CORALLEEN: A Phase 2 clinical trial of multi-agent chemotherapy or letrozole plus ribociclib (LEE011) as neoadjuvant treatment for postmenopausal patients with luminal B/HER2-negative breast cancer. NCT03248427. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT03248427.
- UNICANCER. Open-label, randomized, multicenter, international, parallel exploratory Phase II study, comparing 3 FEC-3 docetaxel chemotherapy to letrozole + palbociclib combination as neoadjuvant treatment of Stage II-IIIA PAM 50 ROR-defined low or intermediate risk luminal breast cancer, in postmenopausal women. NCT02400567. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT02400567.
- Eli Lilly and Company. Endocrine therapy with or without abemaciclib (LY2835219) following surgery in participants with breast cancer (monarchE). NCT03155997. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT03155997.
- 31. Mayer EL et al. Treatment exposure and discontinuation in the PALLAS

trial: PALbociclib CoLlaborative Adjuvant Study of palbociclib with adjuvant endocrine therapy for HR+/ HER2- early breast cancer. Poster PD2-03. SABCS, 8-11 December, 2020.

- Alliance Foundation Trials, LLC. PALbociclib CoLlaborative Adjuvant Study (PALLAS). NCT02513394. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT02513394.
- 33. Liobl S et al. Phase III study of palbociclib combined with endocrine therapy (ET) in patients with hormone-receptor-positive (HR+), HER2-negative primary breast cancer and with high relapse risk after neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NACT): first results from PENELOPE-B. Oral presentation GS1-02. SABCS, 8-11 December, 2020.
- 34. German Breast Group. A study of palbociclib in addition to standard endocrine treatment in hormone receptor positive Her2 normal patients with residual disease after neoadjuvant chemotherapy and surgery (PENELOPE-B). NCT01864746. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT01864746.
- 35. O'Shaughnessy J et al. Primary outcome analysis of invasive disease-free survival for monarchE: abemaciclib combined with adjuvant endocrine therapy for high risk early breast cancer. Oral presentation GS1-01. SABCS, 8-11 December, 2020.
- Slamon D et al. NATALEE: Phase III study of ribociclib (RIBO) + endocrine therapy (ET) as adjuvant treatment in hormone receptor-positive (HR+), human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-negative (HER2-) early breast cancer (EBC). Poster TPS597. ASCO, 31 May-4 June, 2019.
- 37. Novartis Pharmaceuticals. A Trial to Evaluate Efficacy and Safety of Ribociclib With Endocrine Therapy as Adjuvant Treatment in Patients With HR+/HER2- Early Breast Cancer (NATALEE). NCT03701334. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT03701334.
- 38. Wagner LI et al. Patient-reported predictors of early treatment discontinuation: treatment related symptoms and health-related quality of life among postmenopausal women with primary breast cancer randomized to anastrozole or exemestane on NCIC Clinical Trials Group (CCTG) MA.27 (E1ZO3). Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2018;169(3):537-48.
- 39. Pan Y et al. Facilitating adherence to endocrine therapy in breast cancer: stability and predictive power of treatment expectations in a 2-year prospective study. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2018;168(3):667-77.
- 40. Bender CM et al. Influence of patient and treatment factors on adherence to adjuvant endocrine therapy in breast cancer. Oncol Nurs Forum. 2014;41(3):274-85.

- Blok EJ et al. Treatment decisions and the impact of adverse events before and during extended endocrine therapy in postmenopausal early breast cancer. Eur J Cancer. 2018;95:59 67.
- 42. Pistilli B et al. Serum detection of nonadherence to adjuvant tamoxifen and breast cancer recurrence risk. J Clin Oncol. 2020;38(24):2762-72.
- Chirgwin JH et al. Treatment adherence and its impact on disease-free survival in the Breast International Group 1-98 trial of tamoxifen and letrozole, alone and in sequence. J Clin Oncol. 2016;34(21):2452-9.
- Park YH et al. Clinical relevance of TNM staging system according to breast cancer subtypes. Ann Oncol. 2011;22(7):1554-60. Erratum in: Ann Oncol. 2019;30(12):2011.
- Edge SB et al. AJCC Cancer Staging Manual (2010) 7th edition, New York: Springer International.
- 46. Mayer EL et al. PALLAS: a randomized Phase III trial of adjuvant palbociclib with endocrine therapy versus endocrine therapy alone for HR+/HER2- early breast cancer. Abstract LBA12. ESMO, 19-21 September, 2020.
- Cardoso F et al. 5th ESO-ESMO international consensus guidelines for advanced breast cancer (ABC 5). Ann Oncol. 2020;31(12):1623-49.
- Turner NC et al. Overall survival with palbociclib and fulvestrant in advanced breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 2018;379(20):1926-36.
- 49. Sledge GW Jr et al. The effect of abemaciclib plus fulvestrant on overall survival in hormone receptor-positive, ERBB2-negative breast cancer that progressed on endocrine therapy – MONARCH 2: a

randomized clinical trial. JAMA Oncol. 2019;6(1):116-24.

- Slamon DJ et al. Overall survival with ribociclib plus fulvestrant in advanced breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 2020;382(6):514-24.
- Hurvitz SA et al. Ribociclib (RIB) in patients (pts) with HR+/HER2advanced breast cancer (ABC) and resistance to prior endocrine therapy (ET) in the MONALEESA (ML) -3 and -7 trials. Poster 329P. ESMO, 19-21 September, 2020.
- Finn RS et al. Comprehensive gene expression biomarker analysis of CDK 4/6 and endocrine pathways from the PALOMA-2 study. Poster P2-09-10. SABCS, 5-9 December, 2017.
- Paquet ER, Hallett MT. Absolute assignment of breast cancer intrinsic molecular subtype. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2014;107(1):357.
- Delach S, Caponigro G. Preclinical head-to-head comparison of CDK4/6 inhibitor activity toward CDK4 vs CDK6. Poster PS19-10. SABCS, 8-11 December, 2020.
- 55. Kim S et al. The potent and selective cyclin-dependent kinases 4 and 6 inhibitor ribociclib (LEE011) is a versatile combination partner in preclinical cancer models. Oncotarget. 2018;9(81):35226-40. Erratum in: Oncotarget. 2020;11(14):1289.
- Chen P et al. Spectrum and degree of CDK drug interactions predicts clinical performance. Mol Cancer Ther. 2016;15(10):2273-81.
- 57. Mayer EL et al. Palbociclib with adjuvant endocrine therapy in early breast cancer (PALLAS): interim analysis of a multicentre, open-label, randomised, Phase 3 study. Lancet Oncol. 2021;22(2):212-22.

- Johnston SRD et al. Abemaciclib combined with endocrine therapy for the adjuvant treatment of HR1, HER2, node-positive, high-risk, early breast cancer (monarchE). J Clin Oncol. 2020;38(34):3987-98.
- 59. Taylor-Stokes G et al. Treatment patterns and clinical outcomes among patients receiving palbociclib in combination with an aromatase inhibitor or fulvestrant for HR+/ HER2 negative advanced/metastatic breast cancer in real-world settings in the US: results from the IRIS study. Breast. 2019;43:22-7.
- De Laurentiis M et al. Impact of ribociclib (RIB) dose reduction on overall survival (OS) in patients (pts) with HR+/HER2- advanced breast cancer (ABC) in MONALEESA (ML) -3 and -7. Poster 331P. ESMO, 19-21 September, 2020.
- Verma S et al. Palbociclib in combination with fulvestrant in women with hormone receptorpositive/HER2-negative advanced metastatic breast cancer: detailed safety analysis from a multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled, Phase III study (PALOMA-3). Oncologist. 2016;21(10):1165-75.
- 62. Beck JT et al. Ribociclib treatment benefit in patients with advanced breast cancer with ≥1 dose reduction: data from the MONALEESA-2, -3, and -7 trials. Poster P6-18-06. SABCS, 4-8 December, 2018.
- Rugo H et al. The association of early toxicity and outcomes for patients treated with abemaciclib. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36(15 Suppl):Abstract 1053.
- 64. Curigliano G. CDK4/6 inhibitors for HR+HER2- early stage breast cancer
 when to escalate treatment? Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2021;18(2):67-8.