Microfluidic Testing in Patients with Bleeding Disorder of Unknown Cause

Authors: Amaury Monard,^{1,2} Lori Moonen,¹ Dave Hellenbrand,³ Paul Verhezen,³ Inge Merry,¹ Floor Derikx,¹ Erik Beckers,¹ Paola van der Meijden,⁴ Judith Cosemans,⁴ Yvonne Henskens,^{2,3} *Floor Heubel-Moenen¹

- Department of Hematology, Maastricht University Medical Center+, the Netherlands
- Cardiovascular Research Institute Maastricht (CARIM), Maastricht University, the Netherlands
- Central Diagnostic Laboratory, Maastricht University Medical Center+, the Netherlands
- Department of Biochemistry, Cardiovascular Research Institute Maastricht (CARIM), Maastricht University, the Netherlands

*Correspondence to floor.moenen@mumc.nl

Disclosure: Monard has received support for attending meetings and/or travel from Sobi, for WFH 2024, and Roche, for EAHAD 2025. Verhezen holds an unpaid role as a steering committee member of the Working Group for Hemostasis Diagnostics, which is part of the Dutch Society for Thrombosis and Hemostasis. Cosemans has received a BHF-DZHK-DHF International Cardiovascular Research Partnership Award (02-001-2022-0124); and is the Chair of the Scientific Theme Committee on Arterial Thromboembolism at ISTH 2026. Henskens is an advisor for Promicol; and has collaborated with and tested reagents and equipment from IVD companies in the field of haemostasis (Werfen, Siemens, Roche, Nodia, and Stago). Heubel-Moenen has received support for the present manuscript through an Octapharma unrestricted research grant, with payment to the institution. The other authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

Keywords: Bleeding disorder of unknown cause (BDUC), diagnostics, microfluidics.

Citation: EMJ Hematol. 2025;13[1]:63-65. https://doi.org/10.33590/emjhematol/YSTY6819

BACKGROUND

In 40–70% of patients referred to a haematologist for the evaluation of an increased bleeding tendency, no diagnosis can be found after extensive laboratory testing.¹ These patients are classified as having a bleeding disorder of unknown cause (BDUC). Their bleeding phenotype resembles that of patients with known (mild) bleeding disorders, including platelet function disorders.² Currently available laboratory haemostasis tests are unable to diagnose these patients.³ Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the underlying pathophysiological bleeding mechanism in patients with BDUC by using a microfluidic assay to identify sheardependent primary haemostasis defects.⁴

METHODS

Data from the ProBe-AHP cohort were analysed. In this cohort, patients referred to the haematologist for the analysis of an increased bleeding tendency were included. This study was previously described in more detail.⁵ Patients with BDUC who had an increased International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis - Bleeding Assessment Tool (ISTH-BAT) score, as well as normal von Willebrand factor levels, light transmission aggregometry, and ATP release, were selected from this cohort. Shear-dependent platelet adhesion, activation, and aggregation were assessed using microfluidic analysis of shear-dependent collagen only, and/or collagen with tissue factor induced whole blood thrombus formation at 37°C.67

RESULTS

In 26/48 (54%) selected patients with BDUC, who had a mean ISTH-BAT score of 10, abnormal shear-dependent platelet function was observed compared to reference ranges based on measurements in 49 healthy controls. A combination of lower platelet adhesion, lower integrin activation, lower phosphatidylserine exposure, and/or lower P-selectin expression was seen in these patients (Table 1). Additionally, the visually assessed morphology, contraction, and multilayer scores were impaired in 5/48 patients with BDUC. Fibrin formation on

Table 1: Overview of the abnormalities found per parameter* in patients with bleeding disorder of unknown cause (N=48).

| | Platelet aggregation and deposition parameters | | | | | | Fluorescent label parameters | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--|---------------------|-----------------|-------------|------------|----|------------------------------|-----------|-------|----|---------------------------|-----------|-------|-------------------------|
| Patient Number | Platelet coverage | | Thrombus scores | | | | Collagen Type I spot | | | | Collagen Type III spot | | | Number of abnormalities |
| | Platelet SAC | Platelet deposition | Morphology | Contraction | Multilayer | BF | Fibr | PS exp | P-sel | BF | Fibr | PS exp | P-sel | |
| 1 | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | Х | Х | | | | 9 |
| 2 | Х | Х | | | | Х | | Х | Х | Х | | | | 6 |
| 3 | | Х | | | | | | Х | | Х | | | Х | 4 |
| 4 | Х | Х | | | | Х | | | | Х | | | | 4 |
| 5 | Х | Х | | | | | | Х | | | | | Х | 4 |
| 6 | Х | Х | | | | | | | | Х | | | | 3 |
| 7 | Х | Х | | | | | | | | Х | | | | 3 |
| 8 | | | | | | Х | | Х | Х | | | | | 3 |
| 9 | | | Х | | | | | | Х | Х | | | | 3 |
| 10 | Х | Х | | | | | | | | Х | | | | 3 |
| 11 | | | Х | Х | Х | | | | | | | | | 3 |
| 12 | | | Х | Х | Х | | | | | | | | | 3 |
| 13 | | | Х | Х | Х | | | | | | | | | 3 |
| 14 | Х | | | | | Х | | | Х | | | | | 3 |
| 15 | Х | Х | | | | | Х | | | | | | | 3 |
| 16 | Х | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 |
| 17 | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | 1 |
| 18 | | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| 19 | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | 1 |
| 20 | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | | 1 |
| 21 | | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | 1 |
| 22 | | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| 23 | | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | 1 |
| 24 | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| 25 | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | 1 |
| 26 | | | | | | | | Х | | | | | | 1 |

*Number of abnormalities in platelet SAC: 11; platelet deposition: 12; morphology score: 5; contraction score: 4; and multilayer score: 4. Number of abnormalities on collagen Type I: BF: 5; Fibr: 3; PS exposure: 6; and P-selectin: 8. Number of abnormalities on collagen Type III: BF: 9; Fibr: 0; PS exposure: 0; and P-selectin: 2. No abnormalities found in 22 patients with BDUC.

BDUC: a bleeding disorder of unknown cause; BF: brightfield; Fibr: fibrinogen; P-sel: P-selectin; PS exp: phosphatidylserine exposure; SAC: surface area coverage.

a collagen Type I spot co-coated with tissue factor showed no statistically significant difference between patients with BDUC and healthy volunteers.

CONCLUSION

Results from this study show that microfluidic analysis of whole blood thrombus formation under shear can identify shear-dependent platelet function defects in 54% of patients with BDUC, in whom light transmission aggregometry, ATP-release, von Willebrand factor activity, and antigen levels are normal.

References

 Thomas W et al. Bleeding of unknown cause and unclassified bleeding disorders; diagnosis, pathophysiology and management. Haemophilia. 2020;26(6):946-57.

- 2. Quiroga T et al. High prevalence of bleeders of unknown cause among patients with inherited mucocutaneous bleeding. A prospective study of 280 patients and 299 controls. Haematologica. 2007;92(3):357-65.
- Baker RI et al. Standardization of definition and management for bleeding disorder of unknown cause: communication from the SSC of the ISTH. J Thromb Haemost. 2024;22(7):2059-70.
- Monard A et al. Microfluidic testing using the maastricht flowchamber in patients with bleeding disorder of unknown cause (BDUC). Abstract S317. EHA Congress, 12-15 June, 2025.
- 5. Moenen F et al. Screening for platelet function disorders with Multiplate and platelet function analyzer. Platelets. 2019;30(1):81-7.
- Heubel-Moenen F et al. Multiparameter platelet function analysis of bleeding patients with a prolonged platelet function analyser closure time. Br J Haematol. 2022;196(6):1388-400.
- Brouns SLN et al. Platelet-primed interactions of coagulation and anticoagulation pathways in flow-dependent thrombus formation. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):11910.