

Renal Angioplasty and Stenting for Atherosclerotic Renal Artery Stenosis: Current Landscape and Future Directions

Authors:	 Ellen Dempsey,¹ Cristian Deliv,¹ Goran Mitreski,¹⁻³ Limy Wong,⁴₅⁵ *Hong Kuan Kok¹⁻₃٫⁶ Interventional Radiology Service, Northern Imaging Victoria, Northern Health, Melbourne, Australia Northern Clinical Diagnostics and Thrombovascular Research (NECTAR), Northern Health, Melbourne, Australia Faculty of Medicine, Dentistry and Health Sciences, University of Melbourne, Australia Department of Renal Medicine, Eastern Health, Melbourne, Australia Department of Renal Medicine, Eastern Health Clinical School, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia School of Health and Biomedical Sciences, RMIT University, Melbourne, Australia *Correspondence to terry.kok@nh.org.au
Disclosure:	The authors have declared no conflicts of interest.
Received:	23.05.25
Accepted:	19.08.25
Keywords:	Atherosclerosis, chronic kidney disease (CKD), hypertension (HTN),

renal angioplasty, renal artery stenosis (RAS).

https://doi.org/10.33590/emjcardiol/EDRD8451

EMJ Cardiol. 2025;13[1]:121-126.

Abstract

Citation:

Renal artery angioplasty and stenting remain controversial in managing atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis. Landmark trials (ASTRAL and CORAL) failed to show superiority over optimal medical therapy, yet design limitations, including the exclusion of high-risk patients, the employment of variable imaging modalities, and the utilisation of non-standardised protocols, undermined both studies and limited their applicability. Since these trials, the RADAR trial was prematurely terminated, echoing prior findings, but in a small cohort of patients. Of six major RCTs since 1998, most excluded patients with flash pulmonary oedema, refractory hypertension, or rapidly declining renal function. Meta-analyses report reduced antihypertensive burden after renal artery revascularisation, particularly in those with severe or resistant hypertension, but without clear benefit for mortality, renal function, or adverse events. Lower-level evidence from case series highlights success in select high-risk situations (recurrent pulmonary oedema, progressive renal decline, or complex anatomy). Current American College of Cardiology Foundation (ACCF)/American Heart Association (AHA) and European Society of Cardiology (ESC) guidelines endorse revascularisation in specific contexts (Level B-C evidence). Given the limitations of past RCTs, there is a need for robust new RCTs in appropriate patient populations to conclusively determine the role of renal angioplasty and stenting in atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis.



Key Points

- 1. Both the ASTRAL and CORAL RCTs excluded high-risk patient cohorts (flash pulmonary oedema, refractory hypertension, rapidly declining renal function), underrepresented patients with severe renal artery stenosis (RAS), relied on variable imaging modalities for RAS diagnosis, and lacked procedural consistency, reducing broad applicability in real-world clinical practice.
- 2. Despite being a relatively common condition, the role of RAS revascularisation remains highly debated. High-risk subgroups remain underrepresented in the current evidence base, with limited low-level recommendations for offering treatment from the American College of Cardiology Foundation (ACCF)/American Heart Association (AHA) and the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) guidelines (Level B–C).
- 3. A robustly powered, multicentre RCT targeting severe RAS (>70% stenosis, ideally confirmed by pressure gradients and with core lab adjudication) and including patients who are high-risk with flash pulmonary oedema and rapid decline in renal function, is important to definitively assess the clinical benefit of angioplasty and stenting in RAS.

INTRODUCTION

Renal artery stenosis (RAS), which is most often atherosclerotic in aetiology (90% of cases), remains a contentious indication for endovascular therapy. Its prevalence varies from approximately 1% in mild hypertension to 14-24% in resistant hypertension, and it accounts for 2-5% of cases of secondary hypertension.² In advanced chronic kidney disease (CKD) populations, rates may reach 5-22%. Beyond hypertension, atherosclerotic RAS contributes to flash pulmonary oedema and acute coronary syndromes.² Fibromuscular dysplasia and rarer vasculopathies make up the remaining 10% of RAS encountered in clinical practice.1 This review outlines current evidence for renal angioplasty and stenting in atherosclerotic RAS, critically appraises landmark trials, and highlights knowledge gaps to guide future research.

KEY TRIALS IN RENAL ARTERY STENOSIS ANGIOPLASTY AND STENTING

The ASTRAL trial was a multicentre, randomised, and unblinded trial comparing angioplasty ± stenting versus medical therapy in atherosclerotic RAS (N=806).³ No difference was seen in renal function, blood pressure (BP), or survival at a median follow-up of 33.6 months. However, nearly 41% of participants had <70% stenosis, and those most likely to benefit, such as patients with flash pulmonary

oedema, refractory hypertension, or rapidly declining renal function, were largely excluded.3-6 Patient inclusion required 'clinical uncertainty' about benefit, undermining 'investigator equipoise'. 5,6 There was no central core laboratory to validate RAS diagnosis or severity, 4,5 and imaging modalities, including digital subtraction angiography, CT, magnetic resonance angiography, and duplex renal ultrasonography, varied and lacked standardisation amongst recruitment sites. Operator technique and variability in angioplasty and stenting further compounded the lack of standardisation.⁷ In August 2024, long-term follow-up data (median: 56.4 months) again showed no overall advantage of revascularisation, though lower risk enrolment likely underpowered the detection of subgroup benefits, with the authors suggesting further trials in high-risk populations.6

Following this, the CORAL trial randomised 947 patients with atherosclerotic RAS and hypertension or CKD to stenting plus medical therapy versus medical therapy alone, finding no difference in major cardiovascular or renal outcomes over a median of 43 months.⁸ Critics note that by excluding fibromuscular dysplasia, nonischaemic CKD, and lesions unsuitable for a single stent, and by altering systolic BP targets mid-trial,⁹ it underrepresented the highest-risk patients. Although a modest 2 mmHg greater BP reduction was seen in the stenting arm,⁸ aggressive background therapy and inconsistent pressure-



Article

gradient measurements (only 53% of the stent arm versus 34% of the medical arm) likely blunted any real-world benefit.¹⁰ Imaging modalities varied despite core laboratory oversight, 7,11 and a 2023 subgroup analysis suggested improved event-free survival in those with renal function gains post-stenting.¹² Together with ASTRAL's limitations, these findings underscore the need for new RCTs targeting high-risk RAS cohorts. Table 1 summarises the key highlights of the ASTRAL and CORAL trials.

Two earlier RCTs, DRASTIC¹³ and STAR,¹⁴ also failed to demonstrate a clear benefit in revascularisation. In DRASTIC, primarily balloon angioplasty (without routine stenting) reduced antihypertensive use (mean of two versus three drugs), but saw high crossover (approximately 44%) from medical to angioplasty arms due to refractory hypertension. A post-hoc review found 10 patients with mild <50% stenosis, calling into question the diagnosis of renovascular hypertension¹⁵ in many participants, and thus, the conclusion

Table 1: Summary of the ASTRAL and CORAL trials.^{3,8}

Feature	ASTRAL (2009) ³	CORAL (2014) ⁸	
Design	Multicentre RCT (UK-based)	Multicentre, international RCT	
Sample size	806 patients	947 patients	
Inclusion criteria	RAS with clinical uncertainty about the benefit of revascularisation	RAS with hypertension or reduced renal function	
Key exclusions	Patients where stenting was clearly indicated	Serum creatinine >4.0 mg/dL; rapidly progressive disease	
Stenosis severity	≥50% (uncertain in many cases, no haemodynamic criteria)	≥60% + haemodynamic significance (pressure gradient ≥20 mmHg)	
Baseline renal function	Mean eGFR ~40 mL/min/1.73m²	Median eGFR ~57 mL/min/1.73m²	
Intervention	Medical therapy ± stenting	Medical therapy ± stenting	
Primary endpoint	Change in renal function over time	Composite of MI, stroke, hospitalisation for CHF, death, and renal outcomes	
Follow-up duration	Median 34 months	Median 43 months	
Main outcome	No change in renal function, BP, or mortality	No change in composite cardiovascular/renal outcomes	
Blood pressure change	~2 mmHg lower in the stent group (not statistically significant)	~2 mmHg lower in the stent group (statistically significant)	
Complication rate	~3% serious stent-related complications	0.9% stent-related complications	
Conclusion	Stenting adds no benefit to medical therapy in patients with atherosclerotic RAS	Stenting does not improve outcomes over medical therapy in stable atherosclerotic RAS	
Controversies	Included patients at low risk or with late-stage disease, lack of haemodynamic criteria, 'equipoise' bias	Underpowered for subgroups, underrepresentation of high-risk patients, modest BP benefit	

BP: blood pressure; CHF: congestive heart failure; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; MI: myocardial infarction; RAS: renal artery stenosis.



that angioplasty has little advantage over antihypertensive-drug therapy. Similarly, the STAR trial enrolled mostly moderate stenoses and excluded high-risk patients, finding no significant preservation of renal function with stenting plus medical therapy versus medical therapy alone. 4,16

The HERCULES study was a single-arm, prospective, multicentre trial of the Herculink Elite® (Abbott, Santa Clara, Illinois, USA) stent for the treatment of RAS. HERCULES enrolled 202 patients with ≥70% RAS and uncontrolled hypertension. At 9 months, the mean systolic blood pressure (SBP) decreased from 162±18 mmHg to 145±21 mmHg (p<0.0001) in 77.5% of patients, with restenosis rates of 10.5%.17 At 36 months, SBP reductions persisted $(146\pm21 \text{ mmHa: p}<0.001)$, with low restenosis (<10%) and periprocedural complication rates (<4%). The majority (74.3%) maintained SBP improvement, particularly those with baseline SBP ≥180 mmHg (mean: -46 mmHg).18 Although limited by its non-randomised design and predominantly White cohort, HERCULES suggests durable BP benefit

in severe RAS and supports the need for a rigorously controlled RCT in high-risk patients.¹⁷

CURRENT DATA SINCE THESE TRIALS

Since CORAL,8 no new RCTs have definitively assessed revascularisation in atherosclerotic RAS. The RADAR trial¹⁹ was halted in 2017 after enrolling only 86 of the 300 planned patients with ≥70% de novo RAS. It showed no benefit of stenting plus medical therapy over medical therapy alone, but is likely to have been underpowered given the low number of participants recruited. Slow patient enrolment was likely reflective of its stringent inclusion criteria.19 Thus, the contemporary evidence base remains confined to seven trials from 1998-2014 (Table 2): EMMA,²⁰ Scottish and Newcastle Renal Artery Stenosis Group study,²¹ DRASTIC,13 STAR,14 ASTRAL,3 CORAL,8 and HERCULES.¹⁷ No further major trials have been identified at the time of this review.

Table 2: Timeline of key renal artery stenosis trials and studies.

Year	Trial	Design & Focus	Key findings	Impact/Controversy
2000	DRASTIC ¹³	RCT, angioplasty (PTRA) versus medical therapy in hypertensive patients with RAS (N=106)	No significant improvement in BP or renal function	Small, underpowered; no stents; 44% crossover
2006	STAR ¹⁴	RCT, stenting + medical versus medical alone in RAS with renal dysfunction (N=140)	No significant benefit in the preservation of renal function	Mild stenosis included; underpowered; didn't include high-risk patients
2009	ASTRAL ³	RCT, stenting versus medical therapy in patients with uncertain benefit (N=806)	No benefit in BP, renal function, or mortality	Major impact on clinical practice; criticised for selection bias and inclusion of low-risk patients
2014	CORAL ⁸	RCT, stenting + optimal medical therapy versus medical therapy alone in RAS with hypertension or CKD (N=947)	No difference in major CV/renal outcomes, small BP benefit	High-quality design; confirmed conservative approach; underpowered for subgroups and high-risk patients
2012 2014	HERCULES ^{17,18}	Single-arm, prospective study using Herculink Elite® stent in RAS with uncontrolled hypertension (N=202)	Significant SBP reduction (-22 mmHg), low complication rate	Not randomised, but included patients with severe RAS; supports the role of stenting in select patients who are hypertensive

BP: blood pressure; CKD: chronic kidney disease; CV: cardiovascular; Herculink Elite®: Abbott, Santa Clara, Illinois, USA; PTRA: percutaneous transluminal renal angioplasty; RAS: renal artery stenosis; SBP: systolic blood pressure.



Article

Meta-analyses of ASTRAL and CORAL data suggest stenting may modestly lower BP or reduce antihypertensive requirements, especially in severe or refractory hypertension, within 2 years, but without a clear impact on mortality, renal decline, or serious adverse events.^{22,23} Numerous case reports²⁴⁻²⁹ and small cohort studies³⁰ document successful outcomes in highrisk lesions excluded from these major RCTs. Early innovations, such as intraprocedural elamipretide infusion, have shown promise in improving the estimated glomerular filtration rate and lowering BP in a small Phase IIa trial,31 underpinning the potential for evolving techniques to reshape future study outcomes.

CURRENT INDICATIONS

The 2013 American College of Cardiology Foundation (ACCF)/American Heart Association (AHA) Peripheral Artery Disease quidelines³² grade all RAS revascularisation recommendations as Level B or C evidence. The guideline suggests percutaneous angioplasty ± stenting may be considered in asymptomatic bilateral or solitary-kidney RAS with haemodynamic significance (Level C), but is unproven in asymptomatic unilateral disease. Revascularisation is supported (Level B) for progressive kidney disease, bilateral RAS, or RAS in a solitary functioning kidney.32 It is also a reasonable treatment (Level B) for recurrent pulmonary oedema, unstable angina with significant RAS, and recurrent, unexplained congestive heart failure. The 2017 ESC/ESVS Peripheral Artery Disease guidelines advise against routine revascularisation in atherosclerotic RAS (Level A) but support angioplasty ± stenting for recurrent cardiac failure or flash pulmonary oedema (Level C).33

Both ACC/AHA and ESC stress individualised clinical judgement on a case-by-case basis.

Case reports highlight successful interventions in high-risk scenarios such as recurrent pulmonary oedema,34 including Pickering syndrome³⁵ and progressive renal decline.36 Such cases highlight that it would be remiss not to reiterate the criticisms of ASTRAL and CORAL and their lack of inclusion of such patients. Importantly, it should be noted that guidelines often lag behind available evidence, and indeed, both quidelines are derived from data pre-2017.

GAPS IN KNOWLEDGE

Despite extensive study, the authors lack RCT data in the highest-risk RAS subgroups: >70% stenosis or haemodynamically confirmed stenosis with pressure measurements, bilateral disease, rapidly declining renal function, and flash pulmonary oedema. HERCULES suggests promising long-term BP control and safety data in patients with severe RAS, but its findings require confirmation in a rigorously controlled trial targeting these highrisk cohorts.

CONCLUSION

More than 10 years after ASTRAL and CORAL, the role of renal angioplasty and stenting for atherosclerotic RAS remains uncertain. Existing RCTs suffer from selection bias and variable lesion grading, and they exclude patients most likely to benefit from revascularisation. However, limited evidence does exist and suggests benefit in appropriate patients (HERCULES, subgroup analysis of CORAL). There is a need for a contemporary, high-quality RCT, free of the issues above, to reach a definitive conclusion regarding renal artery revascularisation for the treatment of atherosclerotic RAS in properly defined patient populations.

References

- Sarafidis PA et al. Atherosclerotic renovascular disease: a clinical practice document by the European Renal Best Practice (ERBP) board
- of the European Renal Association (ERA) and the Working Group Hypertension and the Kidney of the European Society of Hypertension (ESH). Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2023;38(12):2835-50.
- 2. Prince M et al. When and how should we revascularize patients with atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis? JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2019;12(6):505-17.



- Wheatley K et al.; ASTRAL Investigators. Revascularization versus medical therapy for renalartery stenosis. N Engl J Med. 2009;361(20):1953-62.
- Sarac TP. Influence and critique of the ASTRAL and CORAL trials. Semin Vasc Surg. 2011;24(3):162-6.
- Ritchie J et al. Contemporary management of atherosclerotic renovascular disease: before and after ASTRAL. Nephrology. 2011;16(5):457-67.
- O'Keeffe H et al.; ASTRAL Investigators. Long term outcomes after renal revascularization for atherosclerotic renovascular disease in the ASTRAL trial. Circ Cardiovasc Interv. 2024;17(9):e013979.
- Zhang HL et al. Renal artery stenosis: imaging options, pitfalls, and concerns. Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 2009;52(3):209-19.
- Cooper CJ et al.; CORAL Investigators. Stenting and medical therapy for atherosclerotic renal-artery stenosis. N Engl J Med. 2014;370(1):13-22.
- Mann SJ, Sos TA. The cardiovascular outcomes in renal atherosclerotic lesions study and the future of renal artery stenting. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich). 2014;16(3):162-5.
- Gafoor S et al. The CORAL trial, round 2. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2015;66(22):2495-7.
- Rountas C et al. Imaging modalities for renal artery stenosis in suspected renovascular hypertension: prospective intraindividual comparison of color doppler US, CT angiography, GD-enhanced MR angiography, and digital substraction angiography. Ren Fail. 2007;29(3):295-302.
- 12. Modrall JG et al. Retrieval of renal function after renal artery stenting improves event-free survival in a subgroup analysis of the Cardiovascular Outcomes in Renal Atherosclerotic Lesions trial. J Vasc Surg. 2023;77(6):1685-92.e2.
- van Jaarsveld BC et al. The effect of balloon angioplasty on hypertension in atherosclerotic renal-artery stenosis. N Engl J Med. 2000;342(14):1007-14.
- Bax L et al. Stent placement in patients with atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis and impaired renal function: a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med. 2009;150(12):840-8.
- Weinberg I, Jaff MR. Controversies surrounding renal artery intervention: making sense of the confusion. Interventional Cardiology. 2011;3(5):569.
- Mann SJ, Sos TA. Misleading results of randomized trials: the example of renal artery stenting. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich). 2010;12(1):1-2.

- 17. Jaff MR et al.; HERCULES Investigators. Significant reduction in systolic blood pressure following renal artery stenting in patients with uncontrolled hypertension: results from the HERCULES trial. Catheter and Cardiovasc Interv. 2012;80(3):343-50.
- Chrysant GS et al. Proper patient selection yields significant and sustained reduction in systolic blood pressure following renal artery stenting in patients with uncontrolled hypertension: long-term results from the HERCULES trial. J Clin Hypertens. 2014;16(7):497-503.
- Zeller T et al. A randomized, multi-center, prospective study comparing best medical treatment versus best medical treatment plus renal artery stenting in patients with hemodynamically relevant atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis (RADAR) – one-year results of a prematurely terminated study. Trials. 2017;18(1):380.
- Pappaccogli M et al. Endovascular versus medical management of atherosclerotic renovascular disease: update and emerging concepts. Hypertension. 2023;80(6):1150-61.
- Webster J et al. Randomised comparison of percutaneous angioplasty vs continued medical therapy for hypertensive patients with atheromatous renal artery stenosis. J Hum Hypertens. 1998;12(5):329-35.
- 22. Chen Y et al. Use of percutaneous transluminal renal angioplasty in atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Int Med Res. 2021;49(1):0300060520983585.
- 23. Fujiwara T et al. Combination of medical therapy and percutaneous transluminal renal angioplasty versus medical therapy alone for patients with atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis: systematic review and meta-analysis. Hypertens Res. 2025;48(6):1870-9.
- 24. Vischer A et al. Renal artery intervention in the post-CORAL era - obsolete or sometimes reasonable? Dtsch Med Wochenschr. 2015;140(18):1372-5.
- 25. Sarafidis PA et al. To intervene or not? A man with multidrug-resistant hypertension, endovascular abdominal aneurysm repair, bilateral renal artery stenosis and end-stage renal disease salvaged with renal artery stenting. Blood Press. 2016;25(2):123-8.
- 26. Kuznetsov E et al. Recovery of acute renal failure following bilateral renal artery angioplasty and stenting. Clin Nephrol. 2007;68(1):32-7.
- 27. Chrysochou C et al. Anuric acute renal failure and pulmonary oedema: a case for urgent action. Int J Cardiol. 2009;132(1):e31-3.

- 28. Kane GC et al. Renal artery revascularization improves heart failure control in patients with atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2010;25(3):813-20.
- 29. Kanamori H et al. Improvement of renal function after opening occluded atherosclerotic renal arteries. J Invasive Cardiol. 2009;21(9):E171-4.
- Catena C et al. Long-term renal and cardiac outcomes after stenting in patients with resistant hypertension and atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis. Kidney Blood Press Res. 2017;42(5):774-83.
- Saad A et al. Phase 2a clinical trial of mitochondrial protection (elamipretide) during stent revascularization in patients with atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis. Circ Cardiovasc Interv. 2017;10(9):e005487.
- 32. Anderson JL et al. Management of patients with peripheral artery disease (compilation of 2005 and 2011 ACCF/AHA guideline recommendations): a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Circulation. 2013;127(13):1425-43.
- 33. Aboyans V et al.; ESC Scientific Document Group. 2017 ESC guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of peripheral arterial diseases, in collaboration with the European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS): document covering atherosclerotic disease of extracranial carotid and vertebral, mesenteric, renal, upper and lower extremity arteries endorsed by: the European Stroke Organization (ESO) the task force for the diagnosis and treatment of peripheral arterial diseases of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and of the European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS). Eur Heart J. 2018;39(9):763-816.
- 34. Dionne AR et al. Revascularization in a time after CORAL. JACC Case Rep. 2024;29(17):102501.
- 35. Pelta A et al. Flash pulmonary edema in patients with renal artery stenosis -- the Pickering syndrome. Blood Press. 2011;20(1):15-9.
- 36. Triantis G et al. Renal artery revascularization is a controversial treatment strategy for renal artery stenosis: a case series and a brief review of the current literature. Hellenic J Cardiol. 2022;65:42-8.

