OVARIAN CANCER TREATMENT IS INCREASINGLY INDIVIDUALISED

This infographic is intended for HCPs only and was commissioned and funded by the global team at AbbVie. AbbVie provided a brief and reviewed the infographic for technical accuracy and ABPI code compliance only.



Up to 70% of patients with advanced Stage III–IV high-grade OC will **relapse**,[1] and **>80%** of patients with late-stage OC will become **platinum resistant**.[2]

IN THE PAST

Patient

A deeper understanding of OC tumour biology has gradually led to subclassifications and the identification of some molecular markers.[2]

In the past, patient characterisation and treatment decisions were based on disease staging, treatment response, and adverse event profiles.[3]

Treatment

Prior to the development of targeted therapies, treatment options in OC were restricted to surgical intervention and PbC.[4]

A 'regulatory standard' was developed to define PbC eligibility (**Figure 1**), and PROC was defined as a disease that relapses within 6 months of PbC.[5]

- However, this definition may not be sufficient to predict potential benefit from subsequent PbC or non-platinum-based therapy.[6]

Figure 1[5]



IN THE PRESENT

Patient

Due to the identification and validation of **clinically actionable biomarkers, individualised treatment** paths for patients are now possible.[7]

Currently, patient characterisation and treatment decisions should also consider tumour characteristics, survival outcomes, tumour burden, adverse event management, quality of life, treatment-free interval, histology, and mutation/ biomarker status.[1,7]

Treatment

Therapeutic advancements in OC, such as bevacizumab or PARP inhibitors, provide more opportunities to treat when PbC is not the best option.[1]

- Despite all of these advancements, treating patients with PROC remains a clinical challenge.[8]

Today, a '**clinical standard' has emerged** whereby suitability for PbC is determined through the assessment of many patient factors (**Figure 2**).[1]

- For some patients with recurrent OC, **PbC rechallenge may not be clinically appropriate.**[1]
- The concept of a '**platinum-ineligible**' status allows for more **individualisation** of therapy.[1]

Figure 2[1]



IN THE FUTURE

Patient

An **increasing understanding** of tumour biology in OC is informing novel therapeutic development.[4]

In the future, patient characterisation and treatment decisions may also consider tumour cell **subtype burden**, cumulative **adverse event burden**, patient **choice, sequencing**, and **evolving biomarker/ mutation** status. (Moore, personal communication)

Treatment

Advancements in **targeted therapies** and **health technologies** may usher in a future of **personalised** care.[7-9]

With perspectives on platinum sensitivity changing, novel approaches to treatment are needed to fill the gap.[2,4]

Several **antibody–drug conjugates** and **targeted therapies** are in late-stage development, which may expand therapeutic options in PROC (**Figure 3**).[7,10]

Figure 3



Adverse events should be reported. Reporting forms and information can be found at https://yellowcard.mhra.gov.uk or via the MHRA Yellow Card app, available in the Google Play or Apple App Stores. Adverse events should also be reported to AbbVie on GBPV@abbvie.com.

Prescribing information and indication for UK HCPs can be found here. **December 2025 UK-ELAH-250156**

Abbreviations:
Bev: bevacizumab; CDH6, cadherin 6; FRα: folate receptor alpha; GR: glucocorticoid receptor; HER2: human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; Nab: nanoparticle albumin-bound; NACT: neoadjuvant chemotherapy; OC: ovarian cancer; PARP: poly-ADP ribose polymerase; PbC: platinum-based chemotherapy; PD-1: programmed cell death protein 1; PD-L1: programmed death-ligand 1; PFI: platinum-free interval; PROC: platinum-resistant cancer; SPDB: N-Succinimidyl 4-(2-pyridyldithio)butyrate.

References:
1. González-Martín A et al. Ann Oncol. 2023;34(10):833-48.
2. St Laurent J, Liu JF. J Clin Oncol. 2024;42(2):127-33.
3. Köbel M, Kang EY. Cancers 2022;14:416.
4. Rodenburg CJ, Cleton FJ. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 1984;107:99-105.
5. Alrosan K et al. Oncology Lett. 2025;30:384.
6. Luvero D et al. Ther Adv Med Oncol. 2014;6(5):229-39.
7. Lindemann et al. Gynecol Oncol. 2018;150(2):239-46.
8. Ettore VM et al. Cancers. 2025;17:1822.
9. Shen K et al. Oncol Rep. 2025;53:68.
10. Li H et al. Gene Disease. 2025; In Press. DOI: 10.1016/j.gendis.2025.101801.
11. Mohimikandelous S et al. Nat Comm. 2025;16:8507.
12. Mirvetuximab soravtansine. Summary of product characteristics. AbbVie. 2024. Available at: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/elahere-epar-product-information_en.pdf. Last accessed: 8 October 2025.
13. Clinicaltrials.gov. NCT06619236. https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT06619236.

CONCLUSION

- Evolving understanding of patient and tumour biology is leading to a **changing tide in PROC diagnosis and treatment.**[1,7]
- The **emerging 'clinical standard'** determines suitability for PbC through the assessment of many patient factors.[1]
- The future of **tailored therapy** will **harmonise** considerations for **tumour biology** and what is right for the **patient.**[2,8,9]