

Safety of Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors in Patients with Solid Tumors and Hepatitis C: A TriNetX™ Real-World Analysis

Authors: *Muhammad Yousaf,¹ Jennifer Collins,¹ Kok H. Chan,¹ Amir S. Kamran¹

1. Charleston Area Medical Center, Charleston, West Virginia, USA

*Correspondence to
muhammad.yousaf@vandaliahealth.org

Disclosure: The authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

Keywords: Hepatitis C, immunotherapy, outcomes, safety, TriNetX™ (TriNetX, LLC, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA).

Citation: Oncol AMJ. 2026;3[1]:94-95.
<https://doi.org/10.33590/oncolamj/R60HV488>

BACKGROUND AND AIMS

This work addresses an important evidence gap in the use of immune checkpoint inhibitors among patients with pre-existing hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection.¹⁻³ Patients with chronic viral hepatitis have historically been underrepresented or excluded from pivotal immunotherapy trials, leaving clinicians with limited data to guide treatment decisions in this population.^{4,5} The central concern is that immune checkpoint blockade may precipitate hepatic inflammation, viral hepatitis flare, immune-mediated hepatitis, or hepatic decompensation in patients with underlying liver disease.⁶

METHODS

Using the TriNetX™ (TriNetX, LLC, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA) Research Network from 2014–2024, this retrospective real-world analysis compared adults with solid tumors receiving immune checkpoint inhibitors with and without pre-existing hepatitis C. HCV exposure was defined by detectable HCV RNA and/or an ICD-10 diagnosis of chronic hepatitis

C within 1 year before immunotherapy initiation. The study used 1:1 propensity score matching across demographics, comorbidities, cancer type, metastatic sites, and baseline liver tests, resulting in well-balanced matched cohorts of 1,137 patients per group.

RESULTS

The primary findings suggest that immune checkpoint inhibitors can be used in patients with HCV, but with increased attention to hepatic monitoring. In the primary matched cohort, patients with HCV had a numerically higher rate of alanine aminotransferase elevation ≥ 150 U/L compared with non-HCV patients, although this did not reach statistical significance (7.0% versus 5.2%; relative risk [RR]: 1.36; 95% CI: 0.98–1.88; $p=0.066$). Bilirubin elevation ≥ 3 mg/dL and diagnosis-coded hepatotoxicity were similar between groups (bilirubin ≥ 3 mg/dL: 5.0% versus 5.0%; RR: 1.00; 95% CI: 0.70–1.43; $p=1.000$; diagnosis-coded hepatotoxicity: 1.8% versus 1.8%; RR: 1.05; 95% CI: 0.57–1.93; $p=0.875$). However, severe hepatotoxicity was significantly higher in the HCV cohort (4.7% versus 2.5%; RR: 1.89; 95% CI: 1.21–2.97; $p=0.005$). Importantly, there was no statistically significant decrement in overall survival among patients with HCV, with median survival numerically favoring the HCV group in the primary analysis (median overall survival: 613 versus 522 days; 5-year survival probability: 30.70% versus 26.39%; HR: 0.90; 95% CI: 0.806–1.004; $p=0.0595$).

The RNA-confirmed sensitivity analysis strengthens the signal for transaminitis. When HCV was restricted to patients with laboratory-confirmed viremia, alanine aminotransferase elevation was significantly higher in the HCV group (10.4% versus 4.6%; $p=0.006$), while bilirubin outcomes and overall

Table 1: RNA-only sensitivity analysis (n=308 per group).

Outcome	HCV (n=308)	No HCV (n=308)	p value
ALT ≥150 U/L	10.4%	4.6%	0.006*
OS: 5-yr survival	26.8%	31.8%	0.749
OS: HR (95% CI)	1.04 (0.84–1.28)		
Mean bilirubin (mg/dL)	0.833	0.654	0.064

*p value <0.05 indicating statistical significance.

ALT: alanine aminotransferase; HCV: hepatitis C virus; HR: hazard ratio; OS: overall survival; yr: year.

survival remained not significantly different (mean bilirubin 0.833 versus 0.654 mg/dL; p=0.064; 5-year survival 26.8% versus 31.8%; HR: 1.04; 95% CI: 0.84–1.28; p=0.749; [Table 1](#)). This suggests that active or confirmed HCV infection may increase susceptibility to hepatocellular injury after immune checkpoint inhibitor exposure, without clearly translating into excess biliary toxicity or inferior survival.

CONCLUSION

Overall, these findings support the cautious use of immune checkpoint inhibitors in solid tumor patients with hepatitis C. HCV infection alone should not be considered an absolute contraindication to immunotherapy. The clinical implication is practical: obtain baseline liver tests, clarify HCV activity when possible, coordinate antiviral evaluation when appropriate, and monitor closely for early transaminitis during treatment.

References

1. Yibirin M et al. Immune checkpoint inhibitors suppress hepatitis C virus replication in infected patients with solid tumors. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2023;118(9):1609-17.
2. Alkrekshi A, Tamaskar I. Safety of immune checkpoint inhibitors in patients with cancer and hepatitis C virus infection. *Oncologist*. 2021;26(5):e827-30.
3. Muhammad Yousaf et al. Safety of immune checkpoint inhibitors in solid tumor patients with hepatitis C: a real-world analysis. Abstract 54526. ASCO Annual Meeting, May 29-June 2, 2026.
4. Pu D et al. Safety and efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors in patients with HBV/HCV infection and advanced-stage cancer: a systematic review. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2020;99(5):e19013.
5. Ziogas DC et al. Reconsidering the management of patients with cancer with viral hepatitis in the era of immunotherapy. *J Immunother Cancer*. 2020;8(2):e000943.
6. Dougan M et al. AGA clinical practice update on diagnosis and management of immune checkpoint inhibitor colitis and hepatitis: expert review. *Gastroenterology*. 2021;160(4):1384-93.